

Scopus®
indexed

Q2

Computer
Mathematical
Computing

Q3 2018
0.269

Impact Factor
0.649
1.4

ISSN 2502-4752

INDONESIAN JOURNAL OF
**ELECTRICAL
ENGINEERING
AND COMPUTER
SCIENCE**

<http://ijeecs.iescore.com>



Institut Pendidikan
dan Kiatara



Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science

ISSN: 2502-4752 | e-ISSN: 2502-4760

Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

This Journal Ranked 47th
out 121 in the Field of
Control and Optimization
in the World

Intelektual Pustaka
Media Utama



Find and Contact us:

 ijeecs.iaes@gmail.com <https://ijeecs.iaescore.com>

Our Subject Area:

- Control and Optimization
- Information System
- Electrical and Electronic Engineering
- Signal Processing
- Hardware and Architecture
- Computer Networks and communications

Abstracting and Indexing:

 Scopus Google Scholar Mendeley Dimensions publons scinapse ResearchGate LaTeX CrossRefThis work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).

The Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (IJEECS)

p-ISSN: 2502-4752, e-ISSN: 2502-4760

This journal is published by the [Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science \(IAES\)](http://ijeecs.iaescore.com) in collaboration with [Intelektual Pustaka Media Utama \(IPMU\)](http://intelektualpustakamediautama.ipmu.id).

Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

The Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (IJEECS), p-ISSN: 2502-4752, e-ISSN: 2502-4760 is a monthly peer-reviewed international journal in English, indexed by Scopus (CiteScore 2022: 2.9, SNIP 2022: 0.528, SJR 2022: 0.272), Scimagojr, Google Scholar Metrics, ProQuest, EBSCO, BASE, Scinapse, SHERPA/RoMEO, etc. This journal is published by the [Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science \(IAES\)](#) in collaboration with [Intelektual Pustaka Media Utama \(IPMU\)](#). The purpose of this publication is to disseminate high-quality articles that are devoted to discussing any and all elements of the most recent and noteworthy advancements in the fields of electrical engineering and computer science. The applications of telecommunications and information technology, applied computing and computer science, instrumentation and control engineering, electrical engineering (power), and electronics engineering are all included in its purview.

About the Journal

People

- [Contact](#)
- [Editorial Team](#)

Policies

- [Focus and Scope](#)
- [Section Policies](#)
- [Peer Review Process](#)
- [Publication Frequency](#)
- [Open Access Policy](#)
- [Archiving](#)
- [Indexing and Abstracting](#)
- [Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement](#)
- [Withdrawal of Manuscripts](#)
- [IJEECS Guide for Authors and Template](#)
- [Checklist for preparing your paper for submission](#)
- [Final checklist for preparing your camera ready paper for publication](#)
- [Policy of Plagiarism Detection](#)
- [Retraction and Correction policies](#)

Submissions

- [Online Submissions](#)
- [Author Guidelines](#)
- [Copyright Notice](#)
- [Privacy Statement](#)
- [Author Fees](#)

Other

- [Journal Sponsorship](#)
- [Site Map](#)
- [About this Publishing System](#)



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

Editorial Team

Advisory Editors

1. [Prof. Dr. Patricia Melin](#), Tijuana Institute of Technology, Mexico
2. [Prof. Neil Bergmann](#), The University of Queensland, Australia
3. [Dr. Argyrios Zolotas](#), Cranfield University, United Kingdom
4. [Prof. Daniel Thalmann](#), Nanyang Technological University, Singapore
5. [Prof. Ajith Abraham](#), VSB Technical University of Ostrava, Czech Republic

Past Editor-in-Chief

1. [Prof. Dr. Tole Sutikno](#), Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia

Editor-in-Chief

1. [Prof. Dr. Seifedine Kadry](#), Lebanese American University, Lebanon

Editors

1. [Prof. Dr. Leo P. Ligthart](#), Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
2. [Prof. Dr. Omar Lengerke](#), Universidad Autónoma de Bucaramanga, Colombia
3. [Prof. Dr. Tole Sutikno](#), Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia
4. [Assoc. Prof. Dr. Wanquan Liu](#), Sun Yat-sen University, China
5. [Dr. Arianna Mencattini](#), University of Rome "Tor Vergata", Italy
6. [Mark S. Hooper](#), Analog/RF IC Design Engineer (Consultant) at Microsemi, United States

Associate Editors

1. [Prof. Dr. Ahmad Saudi Samosir](#), Universitas Lampung (UNILA), Indonesia
2. [Prof. Dr. Faycal Djeffal](#), University of Batna, Batna, Algeria
3. [Prof. Dr. Muhammad Irfan](#), Beijing Institute of Technology, China
4. [Prof. Dr. Nidhal Bouaynaya](#), Rowan University, United States
5. [Prof. Dr. Nik Rumzi Nik Idris](#), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia
6. [Prof. Dr. Luis Paulo Reis](#), University of Minho, Portugal
7. [Prof. Dr. Sanjay Kaul](#), Fitchburg State University, United States
8. [Prof. Dr. Srinivasan Alavandar](#), CK College of Engineering and Technology, India
9. [Prof. Dr. Vijayakumar Varadarajan](#), The University of New South Wales, Australia
10. [Prof. Dr. Maja Stula](#), University of Split, Croatia
11. [Prof. Anjan Kumar Ghosh](#), Tripura University, India
12. [Prof. Essam M. Rashad](#), Tanta University, Egypt
13. [Prof. Ezra Morris Gnanamuthu](#), Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia
14. [Prof. João Crisóstomo Weyl](#), Universidade Federal do Parádisabled, Brazil
15. [Prof. Larbi Boubchir](#), University of Paris 8, France
16. [Prof. Mohammed Alghamdi](#), Al-Baha University, Saudi Arabia
17. [Assoc. Prof. Octavian Postolache](#), ISCTE-Lisbon University Institute, Portugal
18. [Prof. Ranathunga Arachchilage Ruwan Chandra Gopura](#), University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
19. [Assoc. Prof. Ahmed Nabih Zaki Rashed](#), Menoufia University, Egypt
20. [Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jumril Yunas](#), Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia
21. [Assoc. Prof. Dr. Lunchakorn Wuttisittikulki](#), Chulalongkorn University, Thailand
22. [Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mario Versaci](#), Università degli Studi di Reggio Calabria, Italy
23. [Assoc. Prof. Dr. Valentina Emilia Balas](#), Aurel Vlaicu University of Arad, Romania
24. [Asst. Prof. Dr. Ahmet Teke](#), Çukurova University, Turkey
25. [Asst. Prof. Dr. Dinh-Thuan Do](#), Ton Duc Thang University, Viet Nam
26. [Asst. Prof. Dr. Ehsan O. Sheybani](#), University of South Florida, United States
27. [Asst. Prof. Dr. Supavadee Aramvith](#), Chulalongkorn University, Thailand
28. [Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ljiljana Seric](#), University of Split, Croatia



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

The Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (IJECS)

p-ISSN: 2502-4752, e-ISSN: 2502-4760

This journal is published by the [Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science \(IAES\)](#) in collaboration with [Intelektual Pustaka Media Utama \(IPMU\)](#).

29. [Dr. Abdalhossein Rezai](#), University of Science and Culture, Iran
30. [Dr. Ahmed Boutejdar](#), German Research Foundation DFG Braunschweig-Bonn, Germany
31. [Dr. Anand Nayyar](#), Duy Tan University, Viet Nam
32. [Assoc. Prof. Aniruddha Chandra](#), National Institute of Technology, India
33. [Dr. Arafat Al-Dweik](#), Khalifa University, United Arab Emirates
34. [Assoc. Prof. Dr. Arcangelo Castiglione](#), University of Salerno, Italy
35. [Assoc. Prof. Chau Yuen](#), Singapore University of Technology and Design, Singapore
36. [Dr. Chinmay Chakraborty](#), Birla Institute of Technology, India
37. [Dr. Faqiang Wang](#), Xi'an Jiaotong University, China
38. [Assoc. Prof. Han Yang](#), University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, China
39. [Dr. Harikumar Rajaguru](#), Bannari Amman Institute of Technology, India
40. [Dr. Imran Shafique Ansari](#), University of Glasgow, United Kingdom
41. [Assoc. Prof. Jinsong Wu](#), Universidad de Chile, Chile
42. [Dr. Makram Fakhry](#), University of Technology, Baghdad, Iraq
43. [Dr. Mohammed Dregham Zidan](#), Atomic Energy Commission of Syria, Syrian Arab Republic
44. [Dr. Mohd Khair Hassan](#), Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia
45. [Dr. Munawar A Riyadi](#), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia
46. [Dr. N. Ramesh Babu](#), M Kumarasamy College of Engineering, India
47. [Dr. Nuno Rodrigues](#), Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Portugal
48. [Asst. Prof. Dr. Praveen Malik](#), Dr. B. R. Ambedkar National Institute of Technology, India
49. [Asst. Prof. Dr. Rama Reddy](#), Kakatiya University, India
50. [Assoc. Prof. Shahrin Md. Ayob](#), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia
51. [Dr. Sudhanshu Shekhar Jha](#), Leipzig University, Germany
52. [Prof. Surinder Singh](#), Sant Longowal Institute of Engg. & Technology Longowal, India
53. [Dr. Tarek Djerafi](#), Institute of Energy, Materials and Telecommunications (INRS), Canada
54. [Dr. Tianhua Xu](#), Tianjin University, China
55. [Dr. Vassilis S. Kodogiannis, CEng](#), University of Westminster, United Kingdom
56. [Assoc. Prof. Dr. Wei Wang](#), Harbin Engineering University, China
57. [Prof. Wei Zhouchao](#), China University of Geosciences, China
58. [Dr. Yin Liu](#), Symantec Core Research Lab, United States
59. [Dr. Youssef Errami](#), Chouaib Doukkali University, Morocco
60. [Dr. Yutthapong Tuppadung](#), Provincial Electricity Authority (PEA), Thailand

Editorial Board Members

1. [Assoc. Prof. Murad Abusubaih](#), Palestine Polytechnic University, Palestinian Territory, Occupied
2. [Prof. Abdelmadjid Recioui](#), University of Boumerdes, Algeria
3. [Prof. Ahmed El Oualkadi](#), Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Morocco
4. [Prof. Meerja Akhil Jabbar](#), Vardhaman College of Engineering, India
5. [Prof. Arthur Swart](#), Central University of Technology, South Africa
6. [Prof. Felix J. Garcia Clemente](#), University of Murcia, Spain
7. [Prof. Mohamed Habaebi](#), International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia
8. [Prof. Priya Ranjan](#), Bhubaneswar Institute of Technologydisabled, India
9. [Prof. Sattar B. Sadkhan](#), University of Babylon, Iraq
10. [Prof. Wajeb Gharibi](#), University of Missouri-Kansas City, United States
11. [Dr. Arun Sharma](#), Delhi Technological University, India
12. [Dr. Dimitri Papadimitriou](#), University of Antwerp, Belgium
13. [Dr. Duy Huynh](#), HUTECH University, Viet Nam
14. [Dr. Hamed Mojallali](#), University of Guilan, Iran, Islamic Republic of



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

The Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (IJECS)
p-ISSN: 2502-4752, e-ISSN: 2502-4760
This journal is published by the [Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science \(IAES\)](#) in collaboration with [Intelektual Pustaka Media Utama \(IPMU\)](#).

15. [Dr. Hasan Ali Khattak](#), National University of Sciences & Technology, Pakistan
16. [Asst. Prof. Dr. Jérôme Le Masson](#), CREC Saint Cyr, France
17. [Dr. Jitendra Mohan](#), Jaypee Institute of Information Technology, India
18. [Assoc. Prof. Jose Soler](#), Technical University of Denmark, Denmark
19. [Dr. Kamal Kant Sharma](#), Chandigarh University, India
20. [Dr. Kamil Dimililer](#), Yakin Doğu Üniversitesi, Cyprus
21. [Dr. Ke-Lin Du](#), Concordia University, Canada
22. [Dr. K.K. Thyagarajan](#), RMK College of Engineering and Technology, India
23. [Dr. Maria Chiara Caschera](#), Istituto Di Ricerche Sulla Popolazione E Le Politiche Sociali, Italy
24. [Dr. Milica Petkovic](#), University of Novi Sad, Serbia
25. [Asst. Prof. Dr. Marwan Nafea](#), University of Nottingham Malaysia, Malaysia
26. [Dr. Media A Ayu](#), Sampoerna University, Indonesia
27. [Dr. Mohammad Yazdani-Asrami](#), University of Glasgow, United Kingdom
28. [Dr. Mohd Syakirin Ramli](#), Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia
29. [Dr Mritha Ramalingam](#), University Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia
30. [Assoc. Prof. Dr. Norliza Noor](#), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia
31. [Dr. Pratap Kumar Sahu](#), Foxconn, Taiwan
32. [Dr. Philipp Fechteler](#), Fraunhofer HHI, Germany
33. [Dr. Ratna Kalos Zakiah Sahbudin](#), Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia
34. [Dr. Rupak Kharel](#), University of Central Lancashire, United Kingdom
35. [Prof.Dr. Sai Kiran Oruganti](#), Jiangxi University of Science and Technology Ganzhou, China
36. [Dr. Sanjaya Kumar Panda](#), National Institute of Technology, India
37. [Assoc. Prof. Dr. Santoso Wibowo](#), Central Queensland University, Australia
38. [Dr. Stylianos Basagiannis](#), United Technologies, Cork, Ireland
39. [Dr. Suneeta Suneeta](#), Vemana Institute of Technology, India
40. [Asst. Prof. Dr. Taghi Javdani Gandomani](#), Shahrekord University, Iran
41. [Asst. Prof. Dr. Tanmoy Maitra](#), Kalinga Institute of Industrial Technology, India
42. [Dr. Tianhua Xu](#), Tianjin University, China
43. [Assoc. Prof. Vasaka Visoottiviseth](#), Mahidol University, Thailand
44. [Dr. Vicente Ferreira De Lucena](#), Universidade Federal do Amazonas, Brazil
45. [Dr. Zhi-Xiang Zhang](#), Hefei University of Technology, China
46. [Dr. Yasin Kabalci](#), Nigde Omer Halisdemir University, Turkey
47. [Asst. Prof. Dr. Ying-Khai Teh](#), San Diego State University, United States
48. [Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zeashan Hameed Khan](#), Air University, Pakistan
49. [Mr. Abdelfatteh Haidine](#), National School of Applied Sciences, Morocco
50. [Prof. Dr. Mihai Gavrilas](#), Technical University of Iasi, Romania

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

The Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (IJECS)
p-ISSN: 2502-4752, e-ISSN: 2502-4760
This journal is published by the [Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science \(IAES\)](#) in collaboration with [Intelektual Pustaka Media Utama \(IPMU\)](#).

Vol 32, No 2

November 2023

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.11591/ijeeecs.v32.i2>

Table of Contents

Feasibility study of rooftop solar photovoltaic system for 33/11 kV primary substation at Al Suwairah	PDF	587-
Shaik Abdul Saleem, Syed Suraya, Shaik Mohammad Irshad		597
Optimal control strategy of photovoltaic system based autonomous micro grid for power quality improvement	PDF	598-
Karimulla Syed Mohammad, Chekka Ravi Kumar		611
A PSO-TVAC for optimal installation of multiple distributed generations in a radial distribution system	PDF	612-
Hazwani Mohd Rosli, Syahirah Abd Halim, Lilik Jamilatul Awalin, Seri Mastura Mustaza		619
Power management on DC microgrid with new DC coupling based on fuzzy logic	PDF	
Adhi Kusmantoro, Irna Farikhah		620-631
Optimization of seasonal tilt adjustment photovoltaic system in Karbala, Iraq, by using the albedo benefit	PDF	632-
Ahmed Hussein Duhis, Ahmed Daud Mosheer, Ansam M. Abed		642
Effects of diesel-ethanol-waste oil cooking and plastics blends reused in common rail direct injection diesel engine	PDF	643-
D.V.S.R.B.M. Subrahmanyam, Manikandan Manikandan		653
Application of optimal control for wind integrated power system	PDF	
Nelson Dhanpal Chetty, Gulshan Sharma, Manoj Kumawat, Pitshou N. Bokoro		654-663
Economic flexible AC transmission system devices placement/sizing with (N-1) contingency using genetic algorithm	PDF	664-
Tanuja Koppa Shankaregowda, Shankaralingappa Channappa Byalihal		675
Analysis of fuzzy and neural controllers in direct torque controlled synchronous motors	PDF	
Sudhakar Ambarapu, Ravuri Daniel, Sreekanth Puli, Satyanarayana Mummana, Nitalakshewara Rao Kolukula, Bodapati Venkata Rajanna		676-687
Design and simulation of a photonic crystal sensor for accurate blood plasma diagnostics	PDF	
Manisha Chakrabarti Chattopadhyay, Rajib Chakraborty, Tapanendu Kundu		688-694
Design of foreign object debris detecting and monitoring using two sets of sensor system	PDF	
Kusworo Adi, Catur Edi Widodo, Aris Puji Widodo, Rifki Rohanudin, Ihsan Fadila		695-703
Evaluation of optimization techniques with support vector machine for identification of dry beans	PDF	704-
Nabin Kumar Naik, Prabira Kumar Sethy, Rajat Amat, Santi Kumari Behera, Preesat Biswas		714
Microcontroller-based air quality monitoring design using mamdani fuzzy method	PDF	
Hari Agus Sujono, Riny Sulistyowati, Wahyu Agung Satriya		715-724



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

Health monitoring of historic buildings using machine learning in real-time internet of things (IoT)	PDF
	725-
Ahmed H. Eldeib, Ahmed Mohamed Abdelsalam, Ahmed M. Shehata, Hesham Sayed Kamel Ali, Sara Fouad	733
Backstepping nonlinear control of a five-phase PMSG aerogenerator linked to a Vienna rectifier	PDF
	734-
Adil Mansouri, Abdelmounime El Magri, Ilyass El Myasse, Rachid Lajouad, Nabil Elaadouli	741
Combining Mindwave, MPU6050, Ultrasonic, and Internet of Things for a reliable, safe, and monitored wheelchair control system	PDF
	742-
Dian Artanto, Ignatius Deradjad Pranowo, Martinus Bagus Wicaksono, Agus Siswoyo	751
Digital twins technology in the educational process of the aviation equipment repair	PDF
Koshekov Kairat, Pirmanov Ildar, Alibekkzy Karygash, Belginova Saule, Karymsakova Indira, Karmenova Markhaba, Baidildina Aizhan	752-762
Computational analysis of hybrid grey wolf and particle swarm optimization for water level control in coupled tank	PDF
	763-
Hafiz Minhajuddin Shaikh, Neelima R. Kulkarni, Mayuresh V. Bakshi	772
ANFIS based method for faults detection in the photovoltaic system	PDF
Younes Lahiouel, Samia Latreche, Mabrouk Khemliche	773-786
Machine learning-based diagnosis of eye-diseases	PDF
Thanaa Hasan Yousif, Nahla Ali Tomah, Marwa Jaleel Mohsin	787-795
Reduced encoder time complexity using enhanced adaptive multiple transform selection in versatile video coding	PDF
	796-
Anil Chowdary Tummala, Purnachand Nalluri	803
Polar code-based cryptosystem: comparative study and analysis of efficiency	PDF
Ritu Redhu, Ekta Narwal	804-810
Cyclic redundancy check-aided successive cancellation-based polar decoders	PDF
Hamizan Suhaimi, Roslina Mohamad, Darmawaty Mohd Ali, Ezmin Abdullah	811-818
Integrating mobile-assisted learning for a dynamic blended approach in higher education	PDF
Nofvia De Vega, Muhammad Basri, Sahril Nur	819-827
A 3.5 GHz microstrip patch antenna design and analysis for wireless applications	PDF
Md. Sohel Rana, Md. Naheen Khurshid, Sanjay Kumar Joy, Md. Soriful Islam Sourav, Md. Jakaria Hassan, Md. Jubaer Nazmul	828-837
The nexus of corruption and non-performing loan: machine learning approach	PDF
Suraya Masrom, Rahayu Abdul Rahman, Nurul Huda Mohd Shukri, Naimah Ahmad Yahya, Mohd Zulfikri Abd Rashid, Nor Balkish Zakaria	838-844
Electronic government system measurement model: a systematic testing of e-government implementation	PDF
Moh Hidayat Koniyo, Ida Ayu Dwi Giriantari, Made Sudarma, Ni Made Ary Esta Dewi Wirastuti	845-856
A design of a smart farm system for cattle monitoring	PDF



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

Khalid El Moutaouakil, Noureddine Falih	857-864	
Review of routing protocol for low power and lossy network in the internet of things		PDF
Murtaja Ali Saare, Saima Anwar Lashari, Ayman Khalil, Mahmood A. Al-Shareeda, Selvakumar Manickam	865-876	
A novel framework for the diagnosis of Parkinson's disease using transfer learning with RESNET50 and SVM classifier		PDF
Anila Macharla, Gera Pradeepini	886	
Comparative analysis in the prediction of early-stage diabetes using multiple machine learning techniques		PDF
Leonard Flores, Rowell Marquez Hernandez, Lloyd H. Macatangay, Shiela Marie G. Garcia, Jonnah R. Melo	887-899	
Towards secure smart campus: security requirements, attacks and counter measures		PDF
Ahmed Srhir, Tomader Mazri, Mohammed Benbrahim	900-914	
Software defined internet of things in smart city: a review		PDF
Imran Edzereiq Kamarudin, Mohamed Ariff Ameedeen, Mohd Faizal Ab Razak, Azlee Zabidi	915-924	
Efficient information retrieval model: overcoming challenges in search engines-an overview		PDF
Simple Sharma, Supriya P. Panda	925-932	
Energy efficient routing protocols in wireless body area networks for health monitoring: a review		PDF
Soleen Jaladet Al-Sofi, Salih Mustafa S. Atroshey, Ismail Amin Ali	933-944	
Classification of chronic kidney disease based on machine learning techniques		PDF
Moataz Mohamed El Sherbiny, Eman Abdelhalim, Hossam El-Din Mostafa, Mervat Mohamed El-Seddik	945-955	
Enhancing loan fraud detection process in the banking sector using data mining techniques		PDF
Fahd Sabry Esmail, Fahad Kamal Alsheref, Amal Elsayed Aboutabl	956-967	
Analyzing interconnections between national stock exchange India and global markets using data analytics		PDF
Zakir Mujeeb Shaikh, Suguna Ramadass	968-976	
Hybrid packet routing algorithm based on ant colony system and tabu search in wireless sensor network		PDF
Husna Jamal Abdul Nasir, Ku Ruhana Ku Mahamud, Eiji Kamioka	977-984	
Enhancing urban mobility: integration of IoT road traffic data and artificial intelligence in smart city environment		PDF
Idriss Moumen, Jaafar Abouchabaka, Najat Rafalia	985-993	
Real-time lane departure warning with cascade lane segmentation		PDF
Indrabayu Indrabayu, Andi Ais Prayogi, Intan Sari Areni, Anugrayani Bustamin, Nublan Azqalani	994-1003	
Deep learning-based Ipoh driving cycle prediction		PDF
Nurru Anida Ibrahim, Arunkumar Subramaniam, Siti Norbakyah Jabar, Salisa Abdul Rahman	1004-1013	



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

[Predicting air quality in smart city using novel transfer learning based framework](#) [PDF](#)

Shilpa Sonawani, Kailas Patil 1014-1021

[Quality of service management in telecommunication network using machine learning technique](#) [PDF](#)
1022-

Zhunussov Ayan, Baikenov Alimjan, Manankova Olga, Zheltayev Timur, Ziyekenov Toktalyk 1030

[Arabic cyberbullying detecting using ensemble deep learning technique](#) [PDF](#)

Mohammed Shihab Ahmed, Saif Muhannad Maher, Mokhalad Eesee Khudhur 1031-1041

[Real-time soil monitoring and irrigation system for taro yam cultivation](#) [PDF](#)

Azril Abdul Rahim, Roslina Mohamad, Nurain Izzati Shuhaimi, Willie C. Buclatin 1042-1049

[Internet of things-blockchain integration: a robust data security approach for end-to-end communication](#) [PDF](#)
1050-

Martin Parmar, Parth Shah 1057

[Emergency vehicles classification for traffic signal system using optimized transfer DenseNet201 model](#) [PDF](#)
1058-

Kamaran H. Manguri, Aree Ali Mohammed 1069

[Predictive analytics of heart disease presence with feature importance based on machine learning algorithms](#) [PDF](#)
1070-

Nitalakshewara Rao Kolukula, Prathap Nayudu Pothineni, Venkata Murali Krishna Chinta, Venu Gopal Boppana, Rajendra Prasad Kalapala, Soujanya Duvvi 1077

[An improved YOLOv5 for real-time human detection in infrared images](#) [PDF](#)

Aicha Khalfaoui, Abdelmajid Badri, Ilham El Mourabit 1078-1085

[Random forest for lung cancer analysis using Apache Mahout and Hadoop based on software defined networking](#) [PDF](#)
1086-

Ali Abbood Khaleel, Ahmed Adnan Mohammed Al-Azzawi, Aws Mohammed Alkhazraji 1093

[A decision tree approach based on BOCR for minimizing criteria in requirements prioritization](#) [PDF](#)
1094-

Tan Amelia, Rozlina Mohamed 1104

[A multilayer model to enhance data security in cloud computing](#) [PDF](#)

Wed Akeel Awadh, Ali Salah Alasady, Mohammed S. Hashim 1105-1114

[An early warning system of heart failure mortality with combined machine learning methods](#) [PDF](#)
1115-

Ananda Sutradhar, Mustahsin Al Rafi, Mohammad Jahangir Alam, Saiful Islam 1122

[Prediction of the epileptic seizure through deep learning techniques using electroencephalography](#) [PDF](#)
1123-

Mounika Sunkara, Rejea Sundaran Rajakumari 1133

[Biometric face authentication system for secure smart office environments](#) [PDF](#)

Apri Siswanto, Akmar Efendi, Evizal Abdul Kadir 1134-1141

[Driver fatigue detection using Raspberry-Pi](#) [PDF](#)



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

The Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (IJECS)

p-ISSN: 2502-4752, e-ISSN: 2502-4760

This journal is published by the [Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science \(IAES\)](#) in collaboration with [Intelektual Pustaka Media Utama \(IPMU\)](#).

Mohd Fikri Azli Abdullah, Mohamad Hizzudin Mohamad Hanafiah, Sumendra Yogarayan, Siti Fatimah Abdul Razak, Afizan Azman, Md Shohel Sayeed	1142-1149
Clustering performance using k-modes with modified entropy measure for breast cancer PDF	
Nurshazwani Muhamad Mahfuz, Heru Suhartanto, Kusmardi Kusmardi, Marina Yusoff	1150-1158
A novel communication's model between different blockchain using core-shell structure PDF	
Mohammed Amine Hajji, Nassim Kharmoum, Hicham Labrim, Soumia Ziti	1159-1167
A survey on deepfake video detection datasets PDF	
Md Fahimuzzman Sohan, Md Solaiman, Md Aumit Hasan	1168-1176
Enhancing safety communication in autonomous vehicles with hybrid elliptic curve digital signatures PDF	1177-
Ravi Kalkundri, Rajashri Khanai, Praveen Kalkundri	1186
Rainfall analysis and prediction using ensemble learning for Karnataka State PDF	
Govardhana Meti, Ravi Kumar Guralamata Krishnegowda, Gudihalli Savitha Swamy	1187-1198
The effects of artificial intelligence on the Kenyan society PDF	
Rebeccah Ndungi, Maria Ulfah Siregar	1199-1205
Implementation of a radio frequency identification and detection technology based digital class attendance system for university students PDF	1206-
Chibuike Peter Ohanu, Ugbe Oluchi Christiana, Uche C. Ogbuefi, Tole Sutikno	1214

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

The Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (IJEECS)
p-ISSN: 2502-4752, e-ISSN: 2502-4760
This journal is published by the [Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science \(IAES\)](#) in collaboration with [Intelektual Pustaka Media Utama \(IPMU\)](#).

Electronic government system measurement model: a systematic testing of e-government implementation

Moh Hidayat Koniyo^{1,2}, Ida Ayu Dwi Giriantari², Made Sudarma², Ni Made Ary Esta Dewi Wirastuti²

¹Department of Informatics Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Gorontalo State University, Gorontalo, Indonesia

²Doctor of Engineering Science, Faculty of Engineering, Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Mar 29, 2023

Revised Jul 18, 2023

Accepted Jul 27, 2023

Keywords:

Governance

Measurement models

Policy

Service

Use

ABSTRACT

The demands to improve electronic government systems are increasing due to the large gap in the unsuccessful implementation in government. This study aimed to develop a measurement model consisting of policy, governance, and service, resources (usage) variables with their indicators to reduce the gap. A quantitative method was used with structural equation modelling (SEM) analysis based on partial least square (PLS) variance using SmartPLS version 3.0 software. Data collection for this study involved the direct distribution of questionnaires to 320 respondents, resulting in a successful collection rate of 95.3%. Subsequently, the collected data underwent analysis using the stages of SEM techniques. The results of the study show that the developed measurement models and indicators can be used as measuring tools for the execution of e-government. The developed model exhibits a satisfactory level of predictive relevance concerning the relationships among the variables, namely policy, governance, service, and usage. Furthermore, hypothesis testing was conducted to assess the validity of the proposed hypotheses within the model.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](#) license.



Corresponding Author:

Moh Hidayat Koniyo

Department of Informatics Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Gorontalo State University

Bone Bolango, Gorontalo, Indonesia

Email: hidayat_koniyo@ung.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

The demands for government management have become more complex since the globalization and regional autonomy era. Governments must prepare an efficient bureaucratic system by developing information technology to strengthen their institutional performance. Hence, the government developed e-government as an information technology system to improve services. This system gives internal and external parties the option to access information easily. E-government enables quick and comprehensive real-time responses to user needs [1]. Additionally, it is an example of how Information and communication technology (ICT) could enhance participation, efficiency, interoperability, and privacy [2].

The adherence of local governments and institutions to regulations, best practices, and standards plays a crucial role in the effective implementation of information technology [3]. Similarly, an incomplete analysis of the need for IT utilization could hinder effective IT development [4]. Institutional, human, process, technology, and service quality factors significantly determine the readiness for IT implementation [5]. Research findings indicate that the execution of e-government in local government is partial and not supported by the readiness of regulations and procedures. Furthermore, limitations of human resources make management systems and work processes ineffective. According to Meiyanti *et al.* [6], the implementation of e-government can be categorized into three outcomes: 35% total failure, 50% partial failure, and only 15% success. A high gap in the failure of e-government implementation is shown by Meiyanti *et al.* [6], despite heavy investments by the governments in

information system procurement to bolster internal operations and facilitate communication and transactions with external entities [7].

Monitoring and evaluation showed that the e-government implementation in several regions is suboptimal, with an average score of 2.40 in all dimensions [8]. The most fundamental cause of the less-than-optimal execution of e-government initiatives is the absence of effective strategies, frameworks, or models for use as a measurement reference. This opinion is in accordance with the research of Joshi and Islam [9] that developing countries have not yet successfully adopted an effective e-government model, which has led to the absence of a suitable strategic blueprint for implementing long-lasting e-government services. The successful implementation of e-government necessitates a comprehensive structural and procedural framework that comprises the active participation of all relevant stakeholders, organizations, and technical considerations; additionally, political and legal actions are crucial in ensuring the smooth adoption and operation of e-government initiatives [10]. Furthermore, other influencing factors are citizens and the government [11].

Several researchers have researched on the determinants of the success and downfall of e-government implementation. Research by Altameem *et al.* [12] conducted a comprehensive identification and review of various e-gov implementation frameworks consisting of three factors, namely governance, technical and organizational factors. Another study, by Joia [13] conducted a G2G e-gov study which concluded that there were three determining factors for the success and failure of G2G e-gov, namely security, organizational culture and training. Almutairi [14] conducted research during the e-gov project in Kuwait (2002-2007) and concluded that there were two groups of crucial determinants for the accomplishment of e-gov, namely personal factors and organizational factors. Furthermore, Garcia [15] examines the crucial determinants of e-government in Singapore and concludes that four groups of factors consist of managerial, technical, financial and human behavior. Meanwhile Gunawardhana and Perera [16], the factors that significantly impact the failure of information system development and implementation in e-government are grouped into organizations, human resources, technology, services, and processes. By comparing the determinants of success and failure of e-government it shows that the human factor (human resources) is seen as an important factor, but in the above studies the human resource factor is measured only in the aspects of motivation and education and training, even though the human resource factor as a user (use) is very decisive as a decision maker to correct deficiencies so that later a system can run better.

The evaluation models could be improved by integrating them into a broader framework comprising human and social change variables and adopting innovation models. The basic thing is that resource variables as users need to be used as a determinant in measuring the success of e-government. However, considering the opposite perspective or contrasting viewpoint, in accordance with the different attributes and cultures of local governments in Indonesia, components that need to be considered in evaluating e-government are policy and regulatory factors, organization, planning, ICT infrastructure, applications [17], then human resources. as a user is also a major factor in evaluating. Furthermore, the measurement of information system success is influenced by the evolving role and utilization of information technology [18]. Previous studies have used the viewpoint of the ranking dimensions to analyze the Indonesian e-government [19], [20]. In 2018, the term e-government changed to a digital government system through presidential regulation 95/2018 concerning digital government systems. The change was affected through ministerial regulation 59/2020 of Indonesia on monitoring and evaluation of digital government systems [17]. Additionally, the regulation of the minister of state apparatus utilization and bureaucratic reform of Indonesia Number 962 of 2021 was issued on technical guidelines for monitoring and evaluating electronic-based government systems [19].

This study developed a measurement model concept for evaluating the execution of e-government in local government in line with previous studies and the applicable regulations. The model developed has four dimensions, nine aspects, and fifty-seven indicators [21], as illustrated in Figure 1. Table 1 shows the measurement model indicator framework.

The developed model concept contains four hypotheses, where H1 states that the policy variable impacts governance. H2 proposed that policy influences the service variable, while H3 states governance affects the service. Additionally, H4 states the service variable impacts usage. The policy variable has only the internal policy aspect with ten indicators using a measurement level 1-stub, 2-managed, 3-defined, 4-integrated and measured, and 5-optimum. The governance variable consists of five dimensions, which encompass institutional and implementation (with two indicators), strategy and planning (with four indicators), digital technology (with four indicators), implementation of electronic government management systems (with eight indicators), and implementation of digital technology audits (with three indicators). Furthermore, this variable uses measurement levels 1-stub, 2-managed, 3-defined, 4-Integrated and measured, and 5-optimum. The service variable is comprised of two aspects: digital government administrative services (with ten indicators) and digital public services. The variable uses the level of measurement 1-information, 2-interaction, 3-transaction, 4-collaboration, and 5-optimum. The variable measures the user-friendliness of electronic-based services through thirteen indicators, using a scale ranging from 1 (very difficult) to 5 (very easy) to assess ease

of use. Table 1 shows the complete measurement indicator framework. This study aimed to determine the model and indicators that could be a measuring tool with a good predictive relevance towards the relationship between policy, governance, services, and use, as well as hypothesis testing.

Table 1. Measurement indicator framework

Variable	Aspect	Code-indicator	Measurement level
1. Policy	1. Internal policy	K1 - e-government coordination team K2 - e-government architecture K3 - Map of the e-government plan K4 - Development of e-government applications K5 - Data center services K6 - Intra network service K7 - Use of the service liaison system K8 - Data management K9 - Information security management K10 - ICT audits	1. Stub 2. Managed 3. Defined 4. Integrated and measurable 5. Optimum
2. Governance	2. Institutions and implementation 3. Strategy and planning 4. Information and communication technology (ICT) 5. Implementation of electronic-based government system management 6. Implementation of information and communication technology audit	T11 - Coordination team carrying out duties and work programs T12 - Implementing e-government collaboratively T13 - Have an e-government architecture T14 - Have an e-government plan map T15 - Integration of e-government plans and budgets T16 - Implementing business process innovation T17 - Implementing integrative development of e-government T18 - Own data center services T19 - Using intra network services T20 - Using the service liaison system T21 - Implementing risk management T22 - Implementing data management T23 - Implementing information security management T24 - Implementing ICT asset management T25 - Implementing human resource competency T26 - Implementing change management T27 - Implementing knowledge management T28 - Implementing service management T29 - Carry out an e-government infrastructure audit T30 - Carry out an e-government application audit T31 - Carry out an e-government security audit	1. Stub 2. Managed 3. Defined 4. Integrated and measurable 5. Optimum
3. Service	7. Electronic-based government administration services 8. Electronic-based public services	L32 - Planning services L33 - Budgeting services L34 - Financial services L35 - Services procurement of goods and services L36 - Staffing service L37 - Archive services L38 - Services for management of state/regional property L39 - Internal oversight services L40 - Performance accountability services L41 - Employee performance services L42 - Public complaint service L43 - Open data services L44 - Legal documentation and information network services	1. Information 2. Interaction 3. Transactions 4. Collaboration 5. Optimum
4. Use	9. Ease of use of electronic-based services	P45 - Ease of planning system P46 - Ease of budgeting system P47 - Ease of financial system P48 - Ease of goods and services procurement system P49 - Ease of personnel system P50 - Ease of filing system P51 - Ease of BMN/D management system P52 - Ease of internal control system P53 - Ease of performance accountability system P54 - Ease of employee performance system P55 - Ease of public complaint system P56 - Ease of open data system P57 - Ease of document network system and legal information	1. Very difficult 2. Difficult 3. Fairly easy 4. Easy 5. Very easy




Figure 1. Measurement model concept

2. METHOD

The study employed structural equation modeling (SEM) utilizing the partial least squares (PLS) variant. The SEM-PLS analysis was performed through the stages of model conceptualization [22], evaluation, and hypothesis testing. The goal was to determine the predictive relationship between constructs using SmartPLS version 3.0.

2.1. Population and sample

This study was conducted in Gorontalo with respondents comprising government employees, including heads of departments and divisions, and e-government administrators. SEM was used to determine the item questions numbers from the latent variables, which is $n \times 5$ to $n \times 10$ [23]. Data were collected by distributing a questionnaire from August 1st to October 31st, 2022. Only 305 of 320 responses met the data processing requirements.

2.2. Data analysis

PLS with SmartPLS ver 3.0 Software was used in data analysis through the following stages:

- Evaluating the measurement or outer model by conducting: i) convergent validity, where correlation is fulfilled when the loading factor value exceeds 0.50 [24]–[26]; ii) discriminant validity is considered satisfactory when the average variance extracted (AVE) value is above 0.50 [24], [27], [28]; and iii) composite reliability, where data has cronbach alpha (CA) and composite reliability (CR) values above 0.70 [25], [29], [30].
- Evaluating the structural or inner model by assessing the R-square value (R^2) and predictive relevance (Q^2) of each variable. In this case, the R-square values of 0.67, 0.33, and 0.19 indicate a strong, moderate, and weak model, respectively [24]. The value of Q^2 higher than 0 means the model has predictive relevance [27].
- Hypothesis testing by examining the model's path coefficient and statistical significance values. The t-statistics value must exceed the t-table value while the p-value should be greater than 0.05 (t-table significance 5% = 1.649).

This study determined the conceptual model [30] in Figure 1 with governance and service as the two latent variables in a multidimensional construct. The construct validity test was conducted using second-order confirmatory factor analysis [31]. Figure 2 shows the construct testing of the governance latent variable. The loading factors, CA, CR, and AVE values are presented in Tables 2 and 3.




Figure 2. Governance latent variable construct

Table 2 shows that all loading factor values are significant and exceed 0.5. The lowest and highest loading factor values are 0.827 and 0.968 on the T20 and T30 indicators, respectively. Therefore, these indicators meet the convergent validity test in measuring governance variables.

Table 2. Convergent validity of governance variables

Aspect	Indicator code	Loading factor	Result
Institutions and organizers	T11	0.934	Valid
	T12	0.926	Valid
Strategy and planning	T13	0.840	Valid
	T14	0.936	Valid
	T15	0.870	Valid
	T16	0.886	Valid
	T17	0.809	Valid
ICT	T18	0.859	Valid
	T19	0.837	Valid
	T20	0.827	Valid
	T21	0.902	Valid
	T22	0.886	Valid
SPBE management implementation	T23	0.856	Valid
	T24	0.900	Valid
	T25	0.868	Valid
	T26	0.855	Valid
	T27	0.860	Valid
	T28	0.866	Valid
	T29	0.965	Valid
	T30	0.968	Valid
ICT audit implementation	T31	0.948	Valid

Table 3 illustrates that all latent variables possess both composite reliability and cronbach's alpha values exceeding 0.7, indicating strong reliability as measurement tools. Additionally, the AVE value surpasses 0.5, indicating good convergent validity for the constructs. Therefore, the governance latent variable has achieved both validity and reliability, demonstrating a high level of measurement quality.

Table 3. CA, CR, and AVE governance latent variables

Latent variable	Cronbach's alpha	Composite reliability	AVE
KP	0.844	0.927	0.865
SP	0.906	0.934	0.781
TIK	0.853	0.975	0.648
PE SPBE	0.956	0.963	0.764
PA TIK	0.958	0.973	0.923

Figure 3 shows the construct testing for the service latent variable. The loading factor, CA, CR, and AVE values are presented in Tables 4 and 5. Table 4 shows that all loading factor values are significant and exceed 0.5. The lowest and highest loading factor values are 0.629 and 0.924 on L36 and L43 indicators, respectively. Therefore, these indicators meet the convergent validity test in measuring the service variable.




Figure 3. Service latent variable construct

Table 4. Convergent validity of service variables

Aspect	Indicator code	Loading factor	Result
Electronic-based government administration services	L32	0.714	Valid
	L33	0.797	Valid
	L34	0.850	Valid
	L35	0.807	Valid
	L36	0.629	Valid
	L37	0.721	Valid
	L38	0.755	Valid
	L39	0.759	Valid
	L40	0.811	Valid
	L41	0.768	Valid
Electronic-based public services	L42	0.821	Valid
	L43	0.924	Valid
	L44	0.839	Valid

Table 5 demonstrates that every latent variable has CR and CA values greater than 0.7. This means that all constructs have good reliability as measuring tools. The AVE value is also over 0.5, implying that the construct has good convergent validity. Therefore, the service latent variable has met validity and reliability with a good measurement level. The conceptual model changes after the governance and service latent variables meet validity and reliability. The latent variable becomes a new indicator in the conceptual construct as shown in Figure 4.

Table 5. CA, CR, and AVE service latent variables

Latent variable	Cronbach's alpha	Composite reliability	AVE
Electronic-based government administration services	0.919	0.933	0.583
Electronic-based public services	0.826	0.897	0.744




Figure 4. Conceptual model construct

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The outer and inner models were evaluated in accordance with the generated conceptual model in Figure 4. Outer model is evaluated by determining the validity and reliability. The inner model is evaluated by determining the relationship between variables and predictive relevance.

3.1. Evaluation of measurement models (outer model)

The first step in measuring the outer model was convergent validity. Figure 5 shows the relationship between parameter estimates, and Table 6 illustrates the loading factor values of each indicator variable. The correlation meets convergent validity when the loading factor exceeds 0.50. Therefore, an indicator with a loading factor value of 0.05 is removed. Table 6 shows that the loading factor values for all indicators exceed 0.5. An indicator is considered valid when the variable's outer loading factor is beyond 0.5. Therefore, all indicators for each latent variable meet the convergent validity test.




Figure 5. Parameter estimation

The discriminant validity was assessed with the provision that the AVE value should exceed 0.50. Table 7 shows that all variables obtained an AVE value greater than 0.50, meaning they meet convergent validity. A reliability test determines a latent variable's stability and consistency. A variable has good composite reliability when the value is more than 0.70 and the CA value exceeds 0.70. Table 7 exhibits that the CR and CA values of all latent variables exceed 0.70. Therefore, all variables have good reliability as measuring tools.

Table 6. Latent variable loading factors

Latent variable	Indicator code	Loading factor	T statistics	P-value
Internal policy	K ₁	0.585	11.948	0.000
	K ₂	0.793	27.264	0.000
	K ₃	0.757	25.170	0.000
	K ₄	0.802	38.924	0.000
	K ₅	0.793	35.283	0.000
	K ₆	0.731	28.607	0.000
	K ₇	0.740	21.476	0.000
	K ₈	0.812	37.566	0.000
	K ₉	0.834	45.378	0.000
	K ₁₀	0.748	27.450	0.000
Governance	KP	0.782	22.838	0.000
	SP	0.909	82.938	0.000
	TIK	0.919	87.936	0.000
	PE SPBE	0.930	105.745	0.000
	PA TIK	0.888	68.611	0.000
Services	LA PBE	0.903	69.419	0.000
	PBE	0.943	150.757	0.000
	P ₄₅	0.796	35.468	0.000
	P ₄₆	0.752	27.157	0.000
	P ₄₇	0.722	18.891	0.000
	P ₄₈	0.705	17.275	0.000
	P ₄₉	0.729	21.899	0.000
	P ₅₀	0.719	18.678	0.000
	P ₅₁	0.667	20.049	0.000
	P ₅₂	0.807	47.834	0.000
Use	P ₅₃	0.854	49.771	0.000
	P ₅₄	0.623	13.137	0.000
	P ₅₅	0.684	22.844	0.000
	P ₅₆	0.786	27.971	0.000
	P ₅₇	0.758	27.111	0.000

Table 7. CA, CR, and AVE

Latent Variable	CA	CR	AVE
Internal Policy	0.919	0.932	0.581
Governance	0.931	0.948	0.787
Service	0.829	0.920	0.852
Use	0.931	0.940	0.549

3.2. Structural model evaluation (inner model)

The structural model was evaluated by looking at the R-square values for each endogenous latent variable, which reflect how well exogenous latent factors explain variance in endogenous variables. The findings revealed R-square values of 0.67, 0.33, and 0.19, indicating strong, moderate, and weak relationships, respectively. To further evaluate the model's predictive relevance, the Q2 values were examined. Table 8 presents the R-square values and predictive relevance (Q2). The results obtained for the R-square values suggest that all latent variables utilized in the model have a significant impact. Specifically, the governance, service, and use variables exhibit R-square values of 0.873, 0.488, and 0.279, respectively. These values correspond to strong, moderate, and weak model relationships. These findings align with the provided R-square thresholds of 0.67, 0.33, and 0.19, which indicate strong, moderate, and weak models. Furthermore, the Q2 value of 0.953, which exceeds zero, indicates that the structural model exhibits good predictive relevance. The relationship between internal policy (ξ_1), governance (η_1), service (η_2), and usage variables (η_3) in the proposed model was interpreted using three equation models as (1).

$$\eta_1 = 0.934\xi_1 + \zeta_1 \quad (1)$$

In (1), it is observed that governance is influenced by the internal policy variable with a coefficient of 0.934. This indicates that a one-unit increase in the internal policy variable leads to a 0.934 unit increase in governance. The R-square value of 0.873 signifies that 87.3% of the variance in governance can be explained by the internal policy variable within this model. Consequently, the remaining 12.7% is affected by other variables not included in the current model.

$$\eta_2 = 0,335\eta_1 + 0,375\xi_1 + \zeta_2 \quad (2)$$

In (2) shows that the service variable is affected by governance and internal policy. The governance variable has an effect of 0.335, meaning that a one-unit increase in governance increases the service variable by 0.335 when internal policy remains constant. Similarly, the internal policy variable has an effect of 0.375, implying that a one-unit increase in internal policy increases the service variable by 0.375 when governance remains constant. The R-square values of the two 2 exogenous latent variables are 0.488. This means that 48.8% of services are affected by internal policy and governance. The rest 51.3% is affected by other variables outside this model.

$$\eta_3 = 0,528\eta_2 + \zeta_3 \quad (3)$$

In (3) shows that usage is affected by the service variable with a value of 0.528. This means that a one-unit increase in the service variable increases usage by 0.528. An R-square value of 0.279 means that 27.9% of usage is affected by the service variable. The remaining 72.1% are affected by other variables outside this model.

Table 8. R-square and Q²

Latent variable	R-square	Q ²
Governance	0.873	
Service	0.488	0.953
Use	0.279	

3.3. Hypothesis test

Table 6 shows that the loading factor values for all indicators exceed 0.5, meaning they are valid. The path coefficient obtained is presented in Table 9. T-test criteria were used in hypothesis testing. In this case, a hypothesis was accepted when the t-statistics value exceeded the t-table with an error rate of 1.649. The following t-statistics values were obtained based on the path coefficient in Table 9.

H1 was accepted because the path coefficient obtained from the correlation between internal policy and governance is 0.934. The t-statistics value is 125.732 higher than the value of t-table of 1.649 at $\alpha=5\%$ significance level. The p-value of 0.000 is lower than 0.05, implying that internal policies positively and significantly affect governance in the proposed model developed.

H2 was accepted because the path coefficient in the correlation between internal policy and service is 0.375. The t-statistics value is 3.268 greater than the value of t-table of 1.649 at $\alpha=5\%$ significance level. Furthermore, the p-value of 0.001 is lower than 0.05, implying that internal policies positively and significantly affect services.

H3 was accepted since the path coefficient in the correlation between the governance variable and service is 0.335. The t-statistics value of 3.050 is higher than the value of t-table of 1.649 at a significance of $\alpha=5\%$. The p-value of 0.002 is also lower than 0.05, implying that governance positively and significantly affects services.

H4 was accepted because the path coefficient in the correlation between the service variable and usage is 0.528. The t-statistics value of 13.909 is higher than the value of t-table of 1.649 at a significance of $\alpha=5\%$. The p-value of 0.000 is lower than 0.05, implying that the service positively and significantly affects usage.

Table 9. Hypothesis test

Latent variable	Hypothesis	Path coefficient	Ts	P-value	Result
Internal policy→Governance	H1	0.934	125.732	0.000	Accepted
Internal policy→Service	H2	0.375	3.268	0.001	Accepted
Governance→Service	H3	0.335	3.050	0.002	Accepted
Service→Use	H4	0.528	13.909	0.000	Accepted

4. CONCLUSION

This study found that the model and indicators developed met the validity and reliability and could be used as measuring instruments. The structural model evaluation obtained R-square values of 0.873, 0.488, and 0.279 for the governance, service, and usage variables. This means the three variables have strong, moderate, and weak relationships, respectively. Additionally, the Q^2 value obtained was 0.953 and higher than 0, meaning the structural model has good predictive relevance. The four hypotheses proposed in this study were accepted with a positive and significant effect. Hence, the developed model effectively assesses the execution of e-government systems within the government context.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank all heads of work units and fields as well as admins of e-government systems within the Gorontalo government for their support and assistance during data collection through questionnaires.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Lv *et al.*, "Managing big city information based on WebVRGIS," *IEEE Access*, vol. 4, pp. 407–415, 2016, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2517076.
- [2] C. Patsakis, P. Laird, M. Clear, M. Bouroche, and A. Solanas, "Interoperable privacy-aware E-participation within smart cities," *Computer*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 52–58, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1109/MC.2015.16.
- [3] P. Widharto, Z. Suhatman, and R. F. Aji, "Measurement of information technology governance capability level: a case study of PT Bank BBS," *Telkommika (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 296–306, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.12928/TELKOMNIKA.v20i2.21668.
- [4] L. N. Amali, M. R. Katili, S. Suhada, and L. Hadjaratie, "The measurement of maturity level of information technology service based on COBIT 5 framework," *Telkommika (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 133–139, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.12928/TELKOMNIKA.V18I1.10582.
- [5] M. Irfan and S. J. Putra, "Readiness measurement of IT implementation in higher education Institutions in Indonesia," *Telkommika (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 860–869, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.12928/TELKOMNIKA.V18I2.14902.
- [6] R. Meiyanti, B. Utomo, D. I. Sensuse, and R. Wahyuni, "E-government challenges in developing countries: a literature review," in *2018 6th International Conference on Cyber and IT Service Management*, Aug. 2019, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/CITSM.2018.8674245.
- [7] E. Loukis and Y. Charalabidis, "Why do e-government projects fail? Risk factors of large information systems projects in the Greek public sector: An international comparison," *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 59–77, Apr. 2011, doi: 10.4018/ijegr.2011040104.
- [8] A. Sabani, H. Deng, and V. Thai, "Evaluating the performance of e-government in Indonesia: a thematic analysis," in *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, Apr. 2019, vol. Part F148155, pp. 435–440, doi: 10.1145/3326365.3326422.
- [9] P. R. Joshi and S. Islam, "E-government maturity model for sustainable E-government services from the perspective of developing countries," *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 10, no. 6, p. 1882, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.3390/su10061882.
- [10] M. Kovac, "E-health demystified: An E-government showcase," *Computer*, vol. 47, no. 10, pp. 34–42, Oct. 2014, doi: 10.1109/MC.2014.282.
- [11] W. R. B. S. Osman, M. H. Abdulraheem, and M. M. Nadzir, "Factors that influence the citizens' participation in E-government decision," *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 341–346, Sep. 2017, doi: 10.19026/rjaset.14.5074.
- [12] T. Altameem, M. Zairi, and S. Alshawi, "Critical success factors of e-government: a proposed model for e-government implementation," in *2006 Innovations in Information Technology, IIT*, 2006, pp. 1–5, doi: 10.1109/INNOVATIONS.2006.301974.
- [13] L. A. Joia, "Government-to-government enterprises: a roadmap for success," *Advanced Topics in Electronic Commerce*, vol. 1, pp. 76–98, 2005, doi: 10.4018/978-1-59140-819-2.ch004.
- [14] H. Almutairi, "Factors impacting the success of electronic government," *International Journal of Information Systems and Social Change*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 19–35, Apr. 2010, doi: 10.4018/jissc.2010040102.
- [15] J. R. G.-Garcia, "E-government success around the world: cases, empirical studies, and practical recommendations," *IGI Global*, 2013, doi: 10.4018/978-1-4666-4173-0.
- [16] D. N. Gunawardhana and C. Perera, "Classification of failure factors in information systems," *International Journal for Innovation Education and Research*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 201–211, Mar. 2015, doi: 10.31686/ijier.vol3.iss3.339.
- [17] M. H. Koniyo, "Identification of components for evaluation e-government governance framework according to regional government characteristics," *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, no. 3, 2021, doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/1098/3/032073.
- [18] W. H. DeLone and E. R. McLean, "Information systems success measurement," *Foundations and Trends® in Information Systems*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–116, 2016, doi: 10.1561/2900000005.
- [19] A. F. Rahmadany, "Literature study of electronic government implementation in the perspective of Indonesia's electronic government ranking dimensions," *Jurnal Bina Praja*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 281–292, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.21787/jbp.13.2021.281–292.
- [20] A. A. Bouty, M. H. Koniyo, and D. Novian, "New model of information technology governance in the government of Gorontalo City using Framework COBIT 4.1," *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, vol. 306, no. 1, p. 012001, Feb. 2018, doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/306/1/012001.
- [21] M. H. Koniyo, I. A. D. Giriantari, M. Sudarma, and N. M. A. E. D. Wirastuti, "Domain concept of e-government evaluation framework in Indonesian local government," in *ICSGTEIS 2021 - 2021 International Conference on Smart-Green Technology in Electrical and Information Systems: Advancing Smart and Green Technologies Toward Society 5.0, Proceedings*, Oct. 2021, pp. 58–62, doi: 10.1109/ICSGTEIS53426.2021.9650379.

- [22] S. B. MacKenzie, P. M. Podsakoff, and N. P. Podsakoff, "Construct measurement and validation procedures in MIS and behavioral research: integrating new and existing techniques," *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 293–334, 2011, doi: 10.2307/23044045.
- [23] A. Leguina, *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*, Second edi., vol. 38, no. 2. Los Angeles: Sage, 2015.
- [24] W. W. Chin, *The partial least squares approach for structural equation modeling*, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers, 1988.
- [25] W. W. Chin, "How to write up and report PLS analyses," in *Handbook of Partial Least Squares*, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 655–690.
- [26] D. Marcelino, "Green purchase intention for nutrifood consumers in Bandung: role of environment concern with green trust mediation," *Jurnal Sekretaris & Administrasi Bisnis (JSAB)*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 01, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.31104/jsab.v4i1.152.
- [27] J. F. Hair, C. M. Ringle, and M. Sarstedt, "PLS-SEM: indeed a silver bullet," *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 139–152, Apr. 2011, doi: 10.2753/MTP1069-6679190202.
- [28] I. M. A. A. Pering, "Kajian analisis jalur dengan structural equation modeling (Sem) smart-Pls 3.0," *Jurnal Ilmiah Satyagraha*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 28–48, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.47532/jis.v3i2.177.
- [29] J. F. Hair, M. Sarstedt, C. M. Ringle, and J. A. Mena, "An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling in marketing research," *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 2012, doi: 10.1007/s11747-011-0261-6.
- [30] S. B. MacKenzie, P. M. Podsakoff, and C. B. Jarvis, "The problem of measurement model misspecification in behavioral and organizational research and some recommended solutions," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, vol. 90, no. 4, pp. 710–730, Jul. 2005, doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.90.4.710.
- [31] M. Wetzels, G. Odekerken-Schröder, and C. V. Oppen, "Using PLS path modeling for assessing hierarchical construct models: guidelines and empirical illustration," *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 177–196, 2009, doi: 10.2307/20650284.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



Moh Hidayat Koniyo     received a bachelor of engineering degree in informatics engineering from STMIK Bandung, Bandung, Indonesia, in 1999, and a master's degree in computer science from Gadjah Mada University, Jogjakarta, Indonesia, in 2005. Currently he is pursuing a doctoral degree in Science of Engineering at the Faculty of Engineering, Udayana University. Since 2001 he has served as a lecturer at the bachelor of information systems study program, Department of Informatics Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, State University of Gorontalo, Gorontalo, Indonesia. His current research interests include IT governance, information technology, and decision support systems. He can be contacted via email: hidayat_koniyo@ung.ac.id.



Ida Ayu Dwi Giriantari     is Professor in Electrical Engineering Department, born on 13 December 1965. She finished undergraduate study in Electrical Engineering Department Udayana University in 1990. Then the next year 1991, she started job as lecturer at the department where she was graduated from. In 1997 she was granted scholarship from Indonesia Government for continuing study at The University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia. She got her Master of Engineering Science (MEngSc) form School of Electrical Engineering and Telecommunication UNSW in 1999, then under the same scholarship at the same University she obtained her Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) majoring in Electric Power in 2003. She came back to Udayana University in 2003, and in the same year she appointed as Head of Department of Electrical Engineering for the period of 2003-2007. She was one of the founders of Electrical Engineering Forum Indonesia (FORTEI) in 2005 and appointed as the Leader of FORTEI 2005-2007. She was the Head of Electrical Engineering Master Program period 2008-2016. Now, she is the Leader of CORE (Center for Community Based Renewable Energy) Udayana University. She is also member of Bali Center for Sustainable Finance (BCSF) Udayana University. She granted Academic Recharging Program from Dikti in 2009 for three months at University of Flensburg, Germany. Ida Ayu is the first Balinese who invited by US Department of State to America under International Visitor Leadership Program (IVLP) on 2-26 September 2011. As Senior Member of IEEE (Institute of Electronic and Electrical Engineering), she actives as program committee in many international conferences, and reviewer for various journals including Frontier of Energy. She is lecturing many subjects in particular: Renewable Energy, Energy Management, Energy tariff, Photovoltaic, Smart Grid, High Voltage Technology, and Electric Circuit. She can be reached via email at: dayu.giriantari@unud.ac.id.



Made Sudarma is a professor in the field of information technology in the Electrical Engineering study program, at the Faculty of Engineering, Udayana University. He completed his undergraduate education in Electrical-Computer Engineering at the Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology Surabaya, completed his Master of Applied Science (M.A.Sc.) at SITE-OU: School of Information Technology and Engineering, Ottawa University Canada, and completed his Doctoral program at the Udayana University Postgraduate. The courses taught are Computer Programming Concepts, Basics of Intelligent Computers, Artificial Intelligence, Software Engineering, Data Warehouse, Data Mining, Decision Support Systems, Information Retrieval, IoT, and Machine Learning. As a lecturer, he must implement the Tri Dharma of higher education, namely education, research, and community service, as well as publications that focus on Internet and Web applications, Cloud Computing, and Artificial Intelligence, contribute as a book author, and as a reviewer in international and national journals. Apart from being active in academic activities, he also works as an Information Technology consultant in local government, the private sector, and tourism. He can be contacted via email: msudarma@unud.ac.id.



Ni Made Ary Esta Dewi Wirastuti completed her B.Eng. degree in electrical engineering from Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia, in 2000. She then pursued an M.Sc. degree in mobile communication systems from the University of Surrey, Guildford, United Kingdom, in 2002. In 2007, she obtained her Ph.D. degree in Telecommunication Systems from the University of Bradford, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom. From 2007 to 2009, she worked as a Post-Doctoral Fellow at the Mobile and Satellite Communication Research Centre, University of Bradford, United Kingdom, contributing to the VeSel (Village e-Science for Life) project, which was supported by the engineering and physical sciences research council grant. In recognition of her research, she received the Best Paper Student award in 2006 from the University of Bradford for her paper presentation at INTI College, Malaysia. Her research interests primarily focus on developing physical layer models for future wireless and mobile communication systems. Since 2001, she has been serving as a lecturer in the Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering at Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia. For further communication, she can be contacted via email at dewi.wirastuti@unud.ac.id.



Digital Receipt

This receipt acknowledges that Turnitin received your paper. Below you will find the receipt information regarding your submission.

The first page of your submissions is displayed below.

Submission author: Moh Hidayat Koniyo, Ida Ayu Dwi Giriantari, Made Sudarma, ...
Assignment title: Karya Tulis Ilmiah
Submission title: Electronic Government System Measurement Model: A Syste...
File name: Edited_Manuscript_170723.docx
File size: 624.77K
Page count: 12
Word count: 5,711
Character count: 33,596
Submission date: 17-Jul-2023 11:21PM (UTC-0700)
Submission ID: 2132985259

1

Electronic Government System Measurement Model: A Systematic Testing of e-Government Implementation in Government

Moh Hidayat Koniyo^{1,2}, Ida Ayu Dwi Giriantari², Made Sudarma², N. M. A. D. E. Wirastuti²
¹Department of Informatics Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Gorontalo State University, Gorontalo, Indonesia
²Doctor of Engineering Science, Faculty of Engineering, Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:
Received month dd,yyyy
Revised month dd,yyyy
Accepted month dd,yyyy

Keywords:
Measurement Models
Policy
Governance
Service
Use

ABSTRACT

The demands to improve electronic government systems are increasing due to the large gap between the actual implementation in government. This study aims to develop a measurement model of e-government system (policy, governance, and service, resources (usage) variables with their indicators to reduce the gap). A quantitative method was used with Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) technique. The data were collected using Survey (PS) software using SmartPLS version 3.0 software. Data collection for this study involved the direct distribution of questionnaires to 320 respondents, resulting in a successful collection rate of 99.3%. The data were analyzed using the structural equation analysis using the stages of SEM techniques. The results of the study show that the proposed model has a good fit. The variables were used as measurement tools for the execution of e-government. The developed model exhibits a satisfactory level of predictive relevance concerning the relationship between policy, governance, service, resources, and usage.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.

Corresponding Author:
Moh Hidayat Koniyo
Doctor of Engineering Science, Faculty of Engineering, Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia
Department of Informatics Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Gorontalo State University
Bosor Bolango, Gorontalo, Indonesia
Email: hidayat_koniyo@ung.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

The demands for government management have become more complex since the globalization and regional autonomy era. Governments must prepare an efficient bureaucratic system by developing information technology to strengthen their institutional performance. Hence, the government developed e-government as an information technology system to improve services. This system gives internal and external parties the option to access information and services. E-government is a system that can facilitate the relationship between government and citizens [1]. Additionally, it is an example of how ICT could enhance participation, efficiency, interoperability, and privacy [2].

The absence of local governments and institutions to regulations, best practices, and standards play a crucial role in the effective implementation of information technology [3]. Similarly, an incomplete analysis of the need for IT utilization could hinder effective IT development [4]. Institutional, human, process, technology, and service quality factors are the determinants of success for IT implementation [5]. Research findings indicate that the execution of e-government in local government is poor and not supported by the readiness of regulations and procedures. Furthermore, limitations of human resources make management systems and work processes ineffective. According to Mulyanti [6], the implementation of e-government can be

Electronic Government System Measurement Model: A Systematic Testing of e- Government Implementation in Government

by Moh Hidayat Koniyo, Ida Ayu Dwi Giriantari, Made Sudarma, N. M. A. D. E. Wirastuti

Submission date: 18-Jul-2023 02:21PM (UTC+0800)

Submission ID: 2132985259

File name: Edited_Manuscript_170723.docx (624.77K)

Word count: 5711

Character count: 33596

Electronic Government System Measurement Model: A Systematic Testing of e-Government Implementation in Government

Moh Hidayat Koniyo^{1,2}, Ida Ayu Dwi Giriantari², Made Sudarma², N. M. A. D. E. Wirastuti²

¹Department of Informatics Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Gorontalo State University, Gorontalo, Indonesia

²Doctor of Engineering Science, Faculty of Engineering, Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received month dd, yyyy

Revised month dd, yyyy

Accepted month dd, yyyy

Keywords:

Measurement Models

Policy

Governance

Service

Use

ABSTRACT

The demands to improve electronic government systems are increasing due to the large gap in the unsuccessful implementation in government. This study aimed to develop a measurement model consisting of policy, governance, and service, resources (usage) variables with their indicators to reduce the gap. A quantitative method was used with Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) analysis based on Partial Least Square (PLS) variance using SmartPLS version 3.0 software. Data collection for this study involved the direct distribution of questionnaires to 320 respondents, resulting in a successful collection rate of 95.3%. Subsequently, the collected data underwent analysis using the stages of SEM techniques.. The results of the study show that the developed measurement models and indicators can be used as measuring tools for the execution of e-government. The developed model exhibits a satisfactory level of predictive relevance concerning the relationships among the variables, namely policy, governance, service, and usage. Furthermore, hypothesis testing was conducted to assess the validity of the proposed hypotheses within the model.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.



Corresponding Author:

Moh Hidayat Koniyo

Doctor of Engineering Science, Faculty of Engineering, Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia

Department of Informatics Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Gorontalo State University

Bone Bolango, Gorontalo, Indonesia

Email: hidayat_koniyo@ung.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

The demands for government management have become more complex since the globalization and regional autonomy era. Governments must prepare an efficient bureaucratic system by developing information technology to strengthen their institutional performance. Hence, the government developed e-government as an information technology system to improve services. This system gives internal and external parties the option to access information easily. E-government enables quick and comprehensive real-time responses to user needs [1]. Additionally, it is an example of how ICT could enhance participation, efficiency, interoperability, and privacy [2].

The adherence of local governments and institutions to regulations, best practices, and standards plays a crucial role in the effective implementation of information technology [3]. Similarly, an incomplete analysis of the need for IT utilization could hinder effective IT development [4]. Institutional, human, process, technology, and service quality factors significantly determine the readiness for IT implementation [5]. Research findings indicate that the execution of e-government in local government is partial and not supported by the readiness of regulations and procedures. Furthermore, limitations of human resources make management systems and work processes ineffective. According to Meiyanti [6], the implementation of e-government can be

categorized into three outcomes: 35% total failure, 50% partial failure, and only 15% success. A high gap in the failure of e-government implementation is shown by [6], despite heavy investments by the governments in information system procurement to bolster internal operations and facilitate communication and transactions with external entities [7].

Monitoring and evaluation showed that the e-government implementation in several regions is suboptimal, with an average score of 2.40 in all dimensions [8]. The most fundamental cause of the less-than-optimal execution of e-government initiatives is the absence of effective strategies, frameworks, or models for use as a measurement reference. This opinion is in accordance with the research of Joshi and Islam [9] that developing countries have not yet successfully adopted an effective e-government model, which has led to the absence of a suitable strategic blueprint for implementing long-lasting e-government services. The successful implementation of e-government necessitates a comprehensive structural and procedural framework that comprises the active participation of all relevant stakeholders, organizations, and technical considerations; additionally, political and legal actions are crucial in ensuring the smooth adoption and operation of e-government initiatives [10]. Furthermore, other influencing factors are citizens and the government [11].

Several researchers have researched on the determinants of the success and downfall of e-government implementation. Research by Torki et al [12] conducted a comprehensive identification and review of various e-gov implementation frameworks consisting of three factors, namely governance, technical and organizational factors. Another study, by Joia [13] conducted a G2G e-gov study which concluded that there were three determining factors for the success and failure of G2G e-gov, namely security, organizational culture and training. Helaiel [14] conducted research during the e-gov project in Kuwait (2002-2007) and concluded that there were two groups of crucial determinants for the accomplishment of e-gov, namely personal factors and organizational factors. Furthermore, Huong [15] examines the crucial determinants of e-government in Singapore and concludes that four groups of factors consist of managerial, technical, financial and human behavior. Meanwhile Pamungkas [16], the factors that significantly impact the failure of information system development and implementation in e-government are grouped into organizations, human resources, technology, services, and processes. By comparing the determinants of success and failure of e-government it shows that the human factor (human resources) is seen as an important factor, but in the above studies the human resource factor is measured only in the aspects of motivation and education and training, even though the human resource factor as a user (use) is very decisive as a decision maker to correct deficiencies so that later a system can run better.

The evaluation models could be improved by integrating them into a broader framework comprising human and social change variables and adopting innovation models. The basic thing is that resource variables as users need to be used as a determinant in measuring the success of e-government. However, considering the opposite perspective or contrasting viewpoint, in accordance with the different attributes and cultures of local governments in Indonesia, components that need to be considered in evaluating e-government are policy and regulatory factors, organization, planning, ICT infrastructure, applications [17], then human resources. as a user is also a major factor in evaluating. Furthermore, the measurement of information system success is influenced by the evolving role and utilization of information technology [18]. Previous studies have used the viewpoint of the ranking dimensions to analyze the Indonesian e-government [19], [20], [21]. In 2018, the term e-government changed to a digital government system through Presidential Regulation 95/2018 concerning digital government systems [22]. The change was effected through Ministerial Regulation 59/2020 of Indonesia on monitoring and evaluation of digital government systems [17]. Additionally, the Regulation of the Minister of State Apparatus Utilization and Bureaucratic Reform of Indonesia Number 962 of 2021 was issued on technical guidelines for monitoring and evaluating electronic-based government systems [23].

This study developed a measurement model concept for evaluating the execution of e-government in local government in line with previous studies and the applicable regulations. The model developed has four dimensions, nine aspects, and fifty-seven indicators [24], as illustrated in figure 1. Table 1 shows the measurement model indicator framework.

The developed model concept contains four hypotheses, where H1 states that the policy variable impacts governance. H2 proposed that policy influences the service variable, while H3 states governance affects the service. Additionally, H4 states the service variable impacts usage. The policy variable has only the internal policy aspect with ten indicators using a measurement level 1-stub, 2-managed, 3-defined, 4-Integrated and measured, and 5-optimum. The governance variable consists of five dimensions, which encompass institutional and implementation (with two indicators), strategy and planning (with four indicators), digital technology (with four indicators), implementation of electronic government management systems (with eight indicators), and implementation of digital technology audits (with three indicators). Furthermore, this variable uses measurement levels 1-stub, 2-managed, 3-defined, 4-Integrated and measured, and 5-optimum. The service variable is comprised of two aspects: digital government administrative services (with ten indicators) and digital public services. The variable uses the level of

measurement 1-information, 2-interaction, 3-transaction, 4-collaboration, and 5-optimum. The variable measures the user-friendliness of electronic-based services through thirteen indicators, using a scale ranging from 1 (very difficult) to 5 (very easy) to assess ease of use.. Table 2 shows the complete measurement indicator framework.




Figure 1. Measurement Model Concept

Table 1. Measurement Indicator Framework

Variable	Aspect	Code-Indicator	Measurement Level
1. Policy	1. Internal Policy	K1 - e-government Coordination Team K2 - e-government Architecture K3 - Map of the e-government plan K4 - Development of e-government applications K5 - Data Center Services K6 - Intra Network Service K7 - Use of the Service Liaison System K8 - Data Management K9 - Information Security Management K10 - ICT audits	1. Stub 2. Managed 3. Defined 4. Integrated and Measurable 5. Optimum
2. Governance	2. Institutions and Implementation	T11 - Coordination Team Carrying Out Duties and Work Programs T12 - Implementing e-government Collaboratively	1. Stub 2. Managed 3. Defined 4. Integrated and Measurable 5. Optimum
	3. Strategy and Planning	T13 - Have an e-government Architecture T14 - Have an e-government Plan Map T15 - Integration of e-government Plans and Budgets T16 - Implementing Business Process Innovation	1. Stub 2. Managed 3. Defined 4. Integrated and Measurable 5. Optimum
	4. Information and Communication Technology (ICT)	T17 - Implementing Integrative Development of e-government T18 - Own Data Center Services T19 - Using Intra Network Services T20 - Using the Service Liaison System	1. Stub 2. Managed 3. Defined 4. Integrated and Measurable 5. Optimum
	5. Implementation of Electronic-Based Government System Management	T21 - Implementing Risk Management T22 - Implementing Data Management T23 - Implementing Information Security Management T24 - Implementing ICT Asset Management T25 - Implementing Human Resource Competency T26 - Implementing Change Management T27 - Implementing Knowledge Management T28 - Implementing Service Management	1. Stub 2. Managed 3. Defined 4. Integrated and Measurable 5. Optimum
	6. Implementation of Information and Communication Technology Audit	T29 - Carry out an e-government Infrastructure Audit T30 - Carry out an e-government Application Audit	1. Stub 2. Managed 3. Defined 4. Integrated and Measurable 5. Optimum
	T31 - Carry out an e-government Security Audit		1. Stub 2. Managed 3. Defined 4. Integrated and Measurable 5. Optimum
3. Service	7. Electronic-Based Government Administration Services	L32 - Planning Services L33 - Budgeting Services L34 - Financial Services L35 - Services Procurement of Goods and Services	1. Information 2. Interaction 3. Transactions 4. Collaboration

Variable	Aspect	Code-Indicator	Measurement Level
		L36 - Staffing Service L37 - Archive Services L38 - Services for Management of State/Regional Property L39 - Internal Oversight Services L40 - Performance Accountability Services L41 - Employee Performance Services L42 - Public Complaint Service L43 - Open Data Services L44 - Legal Documentation and Information Network Services	5. Optimum
8. Electronic-Based Public Services	1		
4. Use	9. Ease of Use of Electronic-Based Services	P45 - Ease of Planning System P46 - Ease of Budgeting System P47 - Ease of Financial System P48 - Ease of Goods and Services Procurement System P49 - Ease of Personnel System P50 - Ease of Filing System P51 - Ease of BMN/D Management System P52 - Ease of Internal Control System P53 - Ease of Performance Accountability System P54 - Ease of Employee Performance System P55 - Ease of Public Complaint System P56 - Ease of Open Data System P57 - Ease of Document Network System and Legal Information	1. Very Difficult 2. Difficult 3. Fairly Easy 4. Easy 5. Very Easy

This study aimed to determine the model and indicators that could be a measuring tool with a good predictive relevance towards the relationship between policy, governance, services, and use, as well as hypothesis testing.

2. METHOD

The study employed Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) utilizing the Partial Least Squares (PLS) variant. The SEM-PLS analysis was performed through the stages of model conceptualization [25], evaluation, and hypothesis testing. The goal was to determine the predictive relationship between constructs using SmartPLS version 3.0.

2.1. Population and Sample

This study was conducted in Gorontalo with respondents comprising government employees, including heads of departments and divisions, and e-government administrators. SEM was used to determine the item questions numbers from the latent variables, which is $n \times 5$ to $n \times 10$ [26]. Data were collected by distributing a questionnaire from August 1st to October 31st, 2022. Only 305 of 320 responses met the data processing requirements.

2.2. Data analysis

PLS with SmartPLS ver 3.0 Software was used in data analysis through the following stages:

- Evaluating the measurement or outer model by conducting:
 - Convergent Validity, where correlation is fulfilled when the loading factor value exceeds 0.50 [27], [28], [29].
 - Discriminant validity is considered satisfactory when the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) value is above 0.50 [27], [30], [31].
 - Composite Reliability, where data has Cronbach Alpha (CA) and Composite Reliability (CR) values above 0.70 [28], [32], [33].
- Evaluating the structural or inner model by assessing the R-Square value (R^2) and predictive relevance (Q^2) of each variable. In this case, the R-Square values of 0.67, 0.33, and 0.19 indicate a strong, moderate, and weak model, respectively [27]. The value of Q^2 higher than 0 means the model has predictive relevance [30].
- Hypothesis testing by examining the model's path coefficient and statistical significance values. The t-statistics value must exceed the t-table value while the p-value should be greater than 0.05 (t-table significance $5\% = 1.649$).

This study determined the conceptual model [34] in Figure 1 with governance and service as the two latent variables in a multidimensional construct. The construct validity test was conducted using second-order confirmatory factor analysis [35]. Figure 2 shows the construct testing of the governance latent variable. The loading factorS, CA, CR, and AVE values are presented in Tables 2 and 3.




Figure 2. Governance latent variable construct

Table 2 shows that all loading factor values are significant and exceed 0.5. The lowest and highest loading factor values are 0.827 and 0.968 on the T20 and T30 indicators, respectively. Therefore, these indicators meet the convergent validity test in measuring Governance variables.

Table 2. Convergent Validity of Governance variables

Aspect	Indicator Code	Loading Factor	Result
Institutions and Organizers	T11	0.934	Valid
	T12	0.926	Valid
Strategy and Planning	T13	0.840	Valid
	T14	0.936	Valid
	T15	0.870	Valid
	T16	0.886	Valid
ICT	T17	0.809	Valid
	T18	0.859	Valid
	T19	0.837	Valid
	T20	0.827	Valid
SPBE Management Implementation	T21	0.902	Valid
	T22	0.886	Valid
	T23	0.856	Valid
	T24	0.900	Valid
	T25	0.868	Valid
	T26	0.855	Valid
	T27	0.860	Valid
	T28	0.866	Valid
ICT Audit Implementation	T29	0.965	Valid
	T30	0.968	Valid
	T31	0.948	Valid

3

Table 3 illustrates that All latent variables possess both composite reliability and Cronbach's alpha values exceeding 0.7, indicating strong reliability as measurement tools. Additionally, the AVE value surpasses 0.5, indicating good convergent validity for the constructs. Therefore, the governance latent variable has achieved both validity and reliability, demonstrating a high level of measurement quality.

Table 3. CA, CR, and AVE governance latent variables

Latent Variable	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability	AVE
KP	0.844	0.927	0.865
SP	0.906	0.934	0.781
TIK	0.853	0.975	0.648
PE SPBE	0.956	0.963	0.764
PA TIK	0.958	0.973	0.923

Figure 3 shows the construct testing for the service latent variable. The loading factor, CA, CR, and AVE values are presented in Tables 4 and 5.




Figure 3: Service latent variable construct

Table 4 shows that all loading factor values are significant and exceed 0.5. The lowest and highest loading factor values are 0.629 and 0.924 on L36 and L43 indicators, respectively. Therefore, these indicators meet the convergent validity test in measuring the service variable.

Table 4. Convergent Validity of Service variables

Aspect	Indicator Code	Loading Factor	Result
Electronic-Based Government Administration Services	L32	0.714	Valid
	L33	0.797	Valid
	L34	0.850	Valid
	L35	0.807	Valid
	L36	0.629	Valid
	L37	0.721	Valid
	L38	0.755	Valid
	L39	0.759	Valid
	L40	0.811	Valid
	L41	0.768	Valid
Electronic-Based Public Services	L42	0.821	Valid
	L43	0.924	Valid
	L44	0.839	Valid

Table 5 demonstrates that every latent variable has CR and CA values greater than 0.7. This means that all constructs have good reliability as measuring tools. The AVE value is also over 0.5, implying that the construct has good convergent validity. Therefore, the service latent variable has met validity and reliability with a good measurement level.

Table 5. CA, CR, and AVE service latent variables

Latent Variable	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability	AVE
Electronic-Based Government Administration Services	0.919	0.933	0.583
Electronic-Based Public Services	0.826	0.897	0.744

The conceptual model changes after the governance and service latent variables meet validity and reliability. The latent variable becomes a new indicator in the conceptual construct as shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4. Conceptual Model Construct

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The outer and inner models were evaluated in accordance with the generated conceptual model in Figure 4.

3.1. Evaluation of Measurement Models (Outer Model)

The first step in measuring the outer model was convergent validity. Figure 5 shows the relationship between parameter estimates, and Table 6 illustrates the loading factor values of each indicator variable. The correlation meets convergent validity when the loading factor exceeds 0.50. Therefore, an indicator with a loading factor value of 0.05 is removed.




Figure 5. Parameter Estimation

Table 6 shows that the loading factor values for all indicators exceed 0.5. An indicator is considered valid when the variable's outer loading factor is beyond 0.5. Therefore, all indicators for each latent variable meet the convergent validity test.

Table 6. Latent variable loading factors

Latent Variable	Indicator Code	Loading Factor	T Statistics	P-Value
Internal Policy	K ₁	0.585	11.948	0.000
	K ₂	0.793	27.264	0.000
	K ₃	0.757	25.170	0.000

Latent Variable	Indicator Code	Loading Factor	T Statistics	P-Value
Governance	K ₄	0.802	38.924	0.000
	K ₅	0.793	35.283	0.000
	K ₆	0.731	28.607	0.000
	K ₇	0.740	21.476	0.000
	K ₈	0.812	37.566	0.000
	K ₉	0.834	45.378	0.000
	K ₁₀	0.748	27.450	0.000
	KP	0.782	22.838	0.000
	SP	0.909	82.938	0.000
	TIK	0.919	87.936	0.000
Services	PE SPBE	0.930	105.745	0.000
	PA TIK	0.888	68.611	0.000
Use	LA PBE	0.903	69.419	0.000
	PBE	0.943	150.757	0.000
	P ₄₅	0.796	35.468	0.000
	P ₄₆	0.752	27.157	0.000
	P ₄₇	0.722	18.891	0.000
	P ₄₈	0.705	17.275	0.000
	P ₄₉	0.729	21.899	0.000
	P ₅₀	0.719	18.678	0.000
	P ₅₁	0.667	20.049	0.000
	P ₅₂	0.807	47.834	0.000
	P ₅₃	0.854	49.771	0.000
	P ₅₄	0.623	13.137	0.000
	P ₅₅	0.684	22.844	0.000
	P ₅₆	0.786	27.971	0.000
	P ₅₇	0.758	27.111	0.000

The discriminant validity was assessed with the provision that the AVE value should exceed 0.50. Table 7 shows that all variables obtained an AVE value greater than 0.50, meaning they meet convergent validity.

Table 7. CA, CR, and AVE

Latent Variable	CA	CR	AVE
Internal Policy	0.919	0.932	0.581
Governance	0.931	0.948	0.787
Service	0.829	0.920	0.852
Use	0.931	0.940	0.549

A reliability test determines a latent variable's stability and consistency. A variable has good composite reliability when the value is more than 0.70 and the CA value exceeds 0.70. Table 7 exhibits that the CR and CA values of all latent variables exceed 0.70. Therefore, all variables have good reliability as measuring tools.

3.2. Structural Model Evaluation (Inner Model)

The structural model was evaluated by looking at the R-Square values for each endogenous latent variable, which reflect how well exogenous latent factors explain variance in endogenous variables. The findings revealed R-Square values of 0.67, 0.33, and 0.19, indicating strong, moderate, and weak relationships, respectively. To further evaluate the model's predictive relevance, the Q² values were examined. Table 8 presents the R-Square values and predictive relevance (Q²).

Table 8. R-Square and Q²

Latent Variable	R-Square	Q ²
Governance	0.873	
Service	0.488	0.953
Use	0.279	

The results obtained for the R-Square values suggest that all latent variables utilized in the model have a significant impact. Specifically, the governance, service, and use variables exhibit R-Square values of 0.873, 0.488, and 0.279, respectively. These values correspond to strong, moderate, and weak model relationships. These findings align with the provided R-Square thresholds of 0.67, 0.33, and 0.19, which indicate strong, moderate, and weak models [36]. Furthermore, the Q² value of 0.953, which exceeds zero, indicates that the structural model exhibits good predictive relevance.

The relationship between internal policy (ξ_1), governance (η_1), service (η_2), and usage variables (η_3) in the proposed model was interpreted using three equation models as follows:

$$\eta_1 = 0.934\xi_1 + \zeta_1 \quad (1)$$

$$\eta_2 = 0.335\eta_1 + 0.375\xi_1 + \zeta_2 \quad (2)$$

$$\eta_3 = 0.528\eta_2 + \zeta_3 \quad (3)$$

1. In Equation (1), it is observed that governance is influenced by the internal policy variable with a coefficient of 0.934. This indicates that a one-unit increase in the internal policy variable leads to a 0.934 unit increase in governance. The R-square value of 0.873 signifies that 87.3% of the variance in governance can be explained by the internal policy variable within this model. Consequently, the remaining 12.7% is affected by other variables not included in the current model.
2. Equation (2) shows that the service variable is affected by governance and internal policy. The governance variable has an effect of 0.335, meaning that a one-unit increase in governance increases the service variable by 0.335 when internal policy remains constant. Similarly, the internal policy variable has an effect of 0.375, implying that a one-unit increase in internal policy increases the service variable by 0.375 when governance remains constant. The R-square values of the two 2 exogenous latent variables are 0.488. This means that 48.8% of services are affected by internal policy and governance. The rest 51.3% is affected by other variables outside this model.
3. Equation (3) shows that usage is affected by the service variable with a value of 0.528. This means that a one-unit increase in the service variable increases usage by 0.528. An R-square value of 0.279 means that 27.9% of usage is affected by the service variable. The remaining 72.1% are affected by other variables outside this model.

3.3. Hypothesis Test

Table 6 shows that the loading factor values for all indicators exceed 0.5, meaning they are valid. The path coefficient obtained is presented in Table 9

Table 9. Hypothesis Test

Latent Variable	Path coefficient	T Statistics	P-Value	Result
Internal Policy → Governance	H1	0.934	125.732	0.000 Accepted
Internal Policy → Service	H2	0.375	3.268	0.001 Accepted
Governance → Service	H3	0.335	3.050	0.002 Accepted
Service → Use	H4	0.528	13.909	0.000 Accepted

T-test criteria were used in hypothesis testing. In this case, a hypothesis was accepted when the t-statistics value exceeded the t-table with an error rate of 1.649. The following t-statistics values were obtained based on the path coefficient in Table 9.

1. H1 was accepted because the path coefficient obtained from the correlation between internal policy and governance is 0.934. The t-statistics value is 125.732 higher than the value of t-table of 1.649 at $\alpha=5\%$ significance level. The p-value of 0.000 is lower than 0.05, implying that internal policies positively and significantly affect governance in the proposed model developed.
2. H2 was accepted because the path coefficient in the correlation between internal policy and service is 0.375. The t-statistics value is 3.268 greater than the value of t-table of 1.649 at $\alpha=5\%$ significance level. Furthermore, the p-value of 0.001 is lower than 0.05, implying that internal policies positively and significantly affect services.
3. H3 was accepted since the path coefficient in the correlation between the governance variable and service is 0.335. The t-statistics value of 3.050 is higher than the value of t-table of 1.649 at a significance of $\alpha=5\%$. The p-value of 0.002 is also lower than 0.05, implying that governance positively and significantly affects services.
4. H4 was accepted because the path coefficient in the correlation between the service variable and usage is 0.528. The t-statistics value of 13.909 is higher than the value of t-table of 1.649 at a significance of $\alpha=5\%$. The p-value of 0.000 is lower than 0.05, implying that the service positively and significantly affects usage.

4. CONCLUSION

This study found that the model and indicators developed met the validity and reliability and could be used as measuring instruments. The structural model evaluation obtained R-Square values of 0.873, 0.488, and 0.279 for the governance, service, and usage variables. This means the three variables have strong,

moderate, and weak relationships, respectively. Additionally, the Q^2 value obtained was 0.953 and higher than 0, meaning the structural model has good predictive relevance.

The four hypotheses proposed in this study were accepted with a positive and significant effect. Hence, the developed model effectively assesses the execution of e-government systems within the government context.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank all heads of work units and fields as well as admins of e-government systems within the Gorontalo government for their support and assistance during data collection through questionnaires.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Lv *et al.*, "Managing Big City Information Based on WebVRGIS," *IEEE Access*, vol. 4, pp. 407–415, 2016, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2517076.
- [2] C. Patsakis, P. Laird, M. Clear, M. Bouroche, and A. Solanas, "Interoperable Privacy-Aware E-Participation within Smart Cities," *Computer*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 52–58, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1109/MC.2015.16.
- [3] P. Widharto, Z. Suhatman, and R. F. Aji, "Measurement of information technology governance capability level: a case study of PT Bank BBS," *TELKOMNIKA*, vol. 20, no. 2, p. 296, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.12928/telkommika.v20i2.21668.
- [4] L. N. Amali, M. R. Katili, S. Suhada, and L. Hadjariatie, "The measurement of maturity level of information technology service based on COBIT 5 framework," *TELKOMNIKA*, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 133, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.12928/telkommika.v18i1.10582.
- [5] M. Irfan and S. J. Putra, "Readiness measurement of IT implementation in Higher Education Institutions in Indonesia," *TELKOMNIKA*, vol. 18, no. 2, p. 860, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.12928/telkommika.v18i2.14902.
- [6] R. Meiyanti, B. Utomo, D. I. Sensuse, and R. Wahyuni, "e-Government Challenges in Developing Countries: A Literature Review," in *2018 6th International Conference on Cyber and IT Service Management (CITSM)*, Panpat, Indonesia: IEEE, Aug. 2018, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/CITSM.2018.8674245.
- [7] E. Loukis and Y. Charalabidis, "Why do eGovernment Projects Fail? Risk Factors of Large Information Systems Projects in the Greek Public Sector: An International Comparison," *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 59–77, Apr. 2011, doi: 10.4018/ijegr.2011040104.
- [8] Keputusan Menteri PANRB, *Keputusan Menteri Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi Republik Indonesia Nomor 108 Tahun 2023 tentang Hasil Pemantauan dan Evaluasi Sistem Pemerintahan Berbasis Elektronik Pad Instansi Pusat dan Pemerintah Daerah Tahun 2023*. 2023, pp. 1–25.
- [9] P. Joshi and S. Islam, "E-Government Maturity Model for Sustainable E-Government Services from the Perspective of Developing Countries," *Sustainability*, vol. 10, no. 6, p. 1882, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.3390/su10061882.
- [10] M. Kovac, "E-Health Demystified: An E-Government Showcase," *Computer*, vol. 47, no. 10, pp. 34–42, Oct. 2014, doi: 10.1109/MC.2014.282.
- [11] Y. Mufliah and T. D. Susanto, "Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Warga dan Pemerintah dalam Adopsi E-Government: Sebuah Ulasan Sistematis," *JTIK*, vol. 4, no. 4, p. 304, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.25126/jtik.201744366.
- [12] T. Altameem, M. Zairi, and S. Alshawi, "Critical Success Factors of E-Government: A Proposed Model for E-Government Implementation," in *2006 Innovations in Information Technology*, Dubai, United Arab Emirates: IEEE, Nov. 2006, pp. 1–5, doi: 10.1109/INNOVATIONS.2006.301974.
- [13] L. A. Joia, *Government-to-Government Enterprises: A RoadMap for Success*. Brazil: Idea Group Publishing, 2005.
- [14] H. Almutairi, "Factors Impacting the Success of Electronic Government: A Micro Level and a Back Office View," *International Journal of Information Systems and Social Change*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 19–35, Apr. 2010, doi: 10.4018/jissc.2010040102.
- [15] H. Huong, *E-Government in Singapore: Critical Success Factors*, in *Advances in Electronic Government, Digital Divide, and Regional Development*. Singapore: IGI Global, 2013, doi: 10.4018/978-1-4666-4173-0.
- [16] A. R Pamungkas, L. Edi Nugroho, and S. Sulistyo, "Evaluasi Faktor Kegagalan Sistem Informasi Pada Kesiapan Penerapan E-Government: Studi Literatur," *JIKO*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 143–152, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.33387/jiko.v3i3.2176.
- [17] Peraturan Menteri PANRB, *Peraturan Menteri Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi Republik Indonesia Nomor 59 Tahun 2020 tentang Pemantauan dan Evaluasi Sistem Pemerintahan Berbasis Elektronik*. 2020, pp. 1–89.
- [18] W. H. DeLone and E. R. McLean, "Information Systems Success Measurement," *Foundations and Trends® in Information Systems*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–116, 2016, doi: 10.1561/2900000005.
- [19] F. Masyhur, "Penelitian e-Government di Indonesia: Studi Literatur Sistematis dari Perspektif Dimensi Pemerintahan e-Government Indonesia (PeGI)," *IPTEK-KOM*, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 51, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.33164/iptekkom.19.1.2017.51-62.
- [20] R. Fadhlurrahman, M. C. Saputra, and A. D. Herlambang, "Evaluasi Penerapan E-government Di Pemerintah Kota Batu Menggunakan Kerangka Kerja Pemerintahan E-government Indonesia (PeGI)," *Jurnal Pengembangan Teknologi Informasi dan Ilmu Komputer (J-PTIK)* Universitas Brawijaya, vol. 2, no. 12, pp. 5977–5982, Dec. 2018, doi: <https://j-ptik.ub.ac.id/index.php/j-ptik/issue/view/24>.
- [21] A. A. Bouty, M. H. Konioy, and D. Novian, "New Model of Information Technology Governance in the Government of Gorontalo City using Framework COBIT 4.1," *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 306, p. 012001, Feb. 2018, doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/306/1/012001.
- [22] Presiden RI, *Peraturan Presiden Nomor 95 Tahun 2018 tentang Sistem Pemerintahan Berbasis Elektronik*. 2018, pp. 1–110.
- [23] Keputusan Menteri PANRB, *Keputusan Menteri Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi Republik Indonesia Nomor 962 Tahun 2021 tentang Pedoman Teknis Pelaksanaan Pemantauan dan Evaluasi Sistem Pemerintahan Berbasis Elektronik*. 2021, pp. 1–203.
- [24] M. H. Konioy, I. A. D. Giriantari, M. Sudarma, and N. M. A. E. D. Wirastuti, "Domain Concept of E-Government Evaluation Framework in Indonesian Local Government," in *2021 International Conference on Smart-Green Technology in Electrical and Information Systems (ICSGTEIS)*, Sanur, Bali, Indonesia: IEEE, Oct. 2021, pp. 58–62, doi: 10.1109/ICSGTEIS3426.2021.9650379.
- [25] MacKenzie, Podakoff, and Podakoff, "Construct Measurement and Validation Procedures in MIS and Behavioral Research: Integrating New and Existing Techniques," *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 35, no. 2, p. 293, 2011, doi: 10.2307/23044045.

[26] J. F. Hair, G. T. M. Hult, C. M. Ringle, and M. Sarstedt, *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*, Second Edition. SAGE Publication, Inc, 2017. Accessed: Jul. 07, 2023. [Online]. Available: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/1743727X.2015.1005806>

[27] W. W. Chin, "The partial least squares approach for structural equation modeling," in *The partial least squares approach for structural equation modeling*, In G.A. Marcoulides (Ed), Modern methods for business research.London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1998, pp. 295–336.

[28] W. W. Chin, "How to Write Up and Report PLS Analyses," in *Handbook of Partial Least Squares*, V. Esposito Vinzi, W. W. Chin, J. Henseler, and H. Wang, Eds., Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 655–690. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-32827-8_29.

[29] D. Marcelino, "Green Purchase Intention Pada Konsumen Nutrifood di Bandung: Peran Environment Concern Dengan Mediasi Green Trust," *Jurnal Sekretaris dan Administrasi Bisnis*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–20, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.31104/jasab.v4i1.152.

[30] J. F. Hair, C. M. Ringle, and M. Sarstedt, "PLS-SEM: Indeed a Silver Bullet," *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 139–152, Apr. 2011, doi: 10.2753/MTP1069-6679190202.

[31] I. M. A. Arya Pering, "Kajian Analisis Jalur Dengan Structural Equation Modeling (Sem) Smart-Pls 3.0," *J. Ilmiah Satyagraha*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 28–48, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.47532/jis.v3i2.177.

[32] J. F. Hair, M. Sarstedt, C. M. Ringle, and J. A. Mena, "An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling in marketing research," *J. of the Acad. Mark. Sci.*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 414–433, May 2012, doi: 10.1007/s11747-011-0261-6.

[33] Y. Sudargini, "Peran Supervisi Akademik dan Motivasi Kerja Terhadap Peningkatan kompetensi Guru SMA Negeri di Pati," *Journal of Industrial Engineering & Management Research*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 13–21, Dec. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.7777/jiemar.v2i6.214>.

[34] S. B. MacKenzie, P. M. Podsakoff, and C. B. Jarvis, "The Problem of Measurement Model Misspecification in Behavioral and Organizational Research and Some Recommended Solutions," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, vol. 90, no. 4, pp. 710–730, Jul. 2005, doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.90.4.710.

[35] Wetzel, Odekerken-Schröder, and Van Oppen, "Using PLS Path Modeling for Assessing Hierarchical Construct Models: Guidelines and Empirical Illustration," *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 33, no. 1, p. 177, 2009, doi: 10.2307/20650284.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS

	<p>Moh Hidayat Koniyo     received a Bachelor of Engineering degree in informatics engineering from STMIK Bandung, Bandung, Indonesia, in 1999, and a master's degree in computer science from Gadjah Mada University, Jokjakarta, Indonesia, in 2005. Currently he is pursuing a doctoral degree in Science of Engineering at the Faculty of Engineering, Udayana University. Since 2001 he has served as a lecturer at the Bachelor of Information Systems Study Program, Department of Informatics Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, State University of Gorontalo, Gorontalo, Indonesia. His current research interests include IT Governance, Information Technology and Decision Support Systems. He can be contacted via email: hidayat_koniyo@ung.ac.id</p>
	<p>Ida Ayu Dwi Giriantari     holds the position of a Professor in the Department of Electrical Engineering. She obtained her Bachelor's degree in electrical engineering from Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia in 1990. In 1999, she completed her Master of Engineering Science (MEngSc) from the School of Electrical Engineering and Telecommunication at UNSW. Under the same scholarship at the same university, she pursued her Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) with a specialization in Electric Power, which she successfully completed in 2003. Additionally, she played a significant role in establishing the Electrical Engineering Forum Indonesia (FORTEI) in 2005 and served as its leader from 2005 to 2007. Currently, she leads the Center for Community Based Renewable Energy (CORE) at Udayana University. As a Senior Member of the IEEE (Institute of Electronic and Electrical Engineering), she actively participates as a program committee member in numerous international conferences and serves as a reviewer for various journals, including Frontier of Energy. Her research interests encompass Renewable Energy, Energy Management, Energy Tariffs, Photovoltaics, Smart Grids, High Voltage Technology, and Electric Circuits. For any further communication, she can be reached via email at dayu.giriantari@unud.ac.id.</p>



Made Sudarma     is a professor in the field of information technology in the Electrical Engineering study program, at ³⁴ Faculty of Engineering, Udayana University. He completed his undergraduate education in Electrical-Computer Engineering at the Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology Surabaya, completed his Master of Applied Science (M.A.Sc.) at SITE-OU: School of Information Technology and Engineering, Ottawa University Canada, and completed his Doctoral program at the Udayana University Postgraduate. The courses taught are Computer Programming Concepts, Basics of Intelligent Computers, Artificial Intelligence, Software Engineering, Data Warehouse, Data Mining, Decision ⁴⁶port Systems, Information Retrieval, IoT, and Machine Learning. As a lecturer, he must implement the **Tri Dharma** of higher education, namely education, research, and community service, as well as publications that focus on Internet and Web applications, Cloud Computing, and Artificial Intelligence, contribute as a book author, and as a reviewer in international and national journals. Apart from being active in academic activities, he also works as an Information Technology consultant in ⁴⁷ government, the private sector, and tourism. He can be contacted via email: msudarma@unud.ac.id.



Ni Made Ary Esta Dewi Wirastuti     completed her B.Eng. degree in electrical engineering from Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia, in 2000. She then pursued an M.Sc. degree in mobile communication systems from ⁶⁴ the University of Surrey, Guildford, United Kingdom, in 2002. In 2007, she obtained her Ph.D. degree in Telecommunication ⁷⁸ Systems from the University of Bradford, West York ⁵² re, United Kingdom. From 2007 to 2009, she worked as a Post-Doctoral Fellow at the Mobile and Satellite Co ⁷⁸ mmunication Research Centre, University of Bradford, ⁵⁵ ted Kingdom, contributing to the VeSel (Village e-Science for Life) project, which was supported by the engineering and physical sciences research council grant. In recognition of her research, she received the Best Paper Student award in 2006 from the University of Bradford for her paper presentation at INTI College, Malaysia. Her research interests primarily focus on developing physical layer models for future wireless ⁵³ mobile communication systems. Since 2001, she has been serving as a lecturer in the Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering at ⁷⁸ Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia. For further communication, she can be contacted via email at dewi.wirastuti@unud.ac.id.

Electronic Government System Measurement Model: A Systematic Testing of e-Government Implementation in Government

ORIGINALITY REPORT



PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Moh Hidayat Koniyo, Ida Ayu Dwi Giriantari, Made Sudarma, N. M. A. E. D. Wirastuti. "Domain Concept of E-Government Evaluation Framework in Indonesian Local Government", 2021 International Conference on Smart-Green Technology in Electrical and Information Systems (ICSGTEIS), 2021 Publication	1 %
2	s-space.snu.ac.kr Internet Source	1 %
3	jurnal.unsyiah.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
4	Endang Purwaningsih, Farida Jasfar, Kusnadi. "THE INFLUENCE OF SOCIAL STRATEGY AND PUBLIC POLICY OF STATE DEFENCE AWARENESS MANAGEMENT ON ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE OF THE MINISTRY OF DEFENSE MEDIATED BY STRATEGIC CHANGE MANAGEMENT",	1 %

International Journal of Research - GRANTHAALAYAH, 2022

Publication

5 Erizal Erizal, Zaidir Zaidir, M Fairuzabadi, A Roswiani. "Master Plan Initiative of Electronic-Based Government System (SPBE) in Metro City, Indonesia", International Conference on Information Science and Technology Innovation (ICoSTEC), 2022 1 %

Publication

6 Hilmy Mahfuzra, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, Ika Chandra Hapsari, Prahastiwi Utari. "What drives the intention to repurchase digital items in digital games An integration of uses and gratifications theory and the expectation-confirmation model", International Journal of Business Information Systems, 2019 1 %

Publication

7 www.diva-portal.org 1 %

Internet Source

8 Suparno Suparno, Sukarman Kamuli. "READINESS OF THE DIGITAL ECOSYSTEM/ENVIRONMENT IN GORONTALO IN ENCOURAGING PUBLIC SERVICES TO GO DIGITAL IN THE ERA OF SOCIETY 5.0s", Public Policy Journal, 2023 1 %

Publication